

About some mean-value theorems

OVIDIU T. POP

ABSTRACT. In this note we will demonstrate a mean-value theorem (Theorem 3), from which through several particularizations, we will obtain the mean-value theorem of Dimitrie Pompeiu and the mean-value theorem of Mircea Ivan. We will also give a geometrical interpretation of Theorem 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

We remind two mean-value theorems, that will be then generalized.

In [4] Dimitrie Pompeiu states the following mean-value theorem

Theorem 1. (Dimitrie Pompeiu, 1946). *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following conditions:*

- a) *it is continuous on $[a, b]$;*
- b) *it is derivable on (a, b) ;*
- c) $0 \notin [a, b]$.

Then exists $c \in (a, b)$ so that

$$\frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{a - b} = f(c) - cf'(c). \quad (1)$$

Another theorem is announced and demonstrated in [2].

Theorem 2. (Mircea Ivan, 1970). *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following conditions:*

- a) *it is continuous on $[a, b]$;*
- b) *it is derivable on (a, b) ;*
- c) $f'(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in (a, b)$;
- d) $f(a) \neq f(b)$.

Then exists $c \in (a, b)$ so that

$$\frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} = c - \frac{f(c)}{f'(c)}. \quad (2)$$

2. MAIN RESULT

In the following, we consider $a < b$.

Theorem 3. *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *it is continuous on $[a, b]$;*
- (ii) *it is derivable on (a, b) ;*

Received: 5.06.2004. In revised form. 23.11.2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A24.

Key words and phrases. *Mean-value theorem, Pompeiu, Ivan.*

(iii) $f'(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in (a, b)$

and consider the points $A(a, f(a))$ and $B(b, f(b))$.

If the point $M(\alpha, \beta)$ satisfies the conditions $M \in AB$ and $M \notin [AB]$, then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ so that:

$$\alpha \left(f'(c) - \frac{f(a) - f(b)}{a - b} \right) = \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{a - b} - (f(c) - cf'(c)) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\beta \left(\frac{1}{f'(c)} - \frac{1}{\frac{f(a) - f(b)}{a - b}} \right) = \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{f(b) - f(a)} - \left(c - \frac{f(c)}{f'(c)} \right). \quad (4)$$

Proof. We prove that $f(a) \neq f(b)$. Supposing that $f(a) = f(b)$, then according to Rolle's theorem there exists $c \in (a, b)$ so that $f'(c) = 0$, which is in contradiction with (iii).

We consider the functions

$$g, h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x - \alpha}, h(x) = \frac{1}{x - \alpha}.$$

We apply Cauchy's theorem to g and h functions, so exists $c \in (a, b)$ so that

$$\frac{g(b) - g(a)}{h(b) - h(a)} = \frac{g'(c)}{h'(c)},$$

equivalent with

$$\frac{\frac{f(b)}{b - \alpha} - \frac{f(a)}{a - \alpha}}{\frac{1}{b - \alpha} - \frac{1}{a - \alpha}} = \frac{\frac{f'(c)(c - \alpha) - f(c)}{(c - \alpha)^2}}{\frac{1}{(c - \alpha)^2}},$$

from which it results relation (3).

Knowing that the equation for the line AB is

$$y = \frac{f(a) - f(b)}{a - b}x + \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{a - b}$$

and that $M \in AB$, we obtain:

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta(a - b) + bf(a) - af(b)}{f(a) - f(b)}. \quad (5)$$

Replacing α from (5) in (3), and after calculating we have (4). \square

Remark 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, for $\alpha = 0$, we obtain relation (1) from Theorem 1.

Remark 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, for $\beta = 0$, we obtain relation (2) from Theorem 2.

In the following we will give *the geometrical interpretation of Theorem 3*

This theorem states that there exists a point $T(c, f(c))$, $c \in (a, b)$, so that the tangent line in T to the graphic of the function f crosses the line AB in the point M .

Indeed, considering the set of equations formed by the line AB and the tangent line in T to the graphic of the function f ,

$$\begin{cases} y = \frac{f(a) - f(b)}{a - b}x + \frac{af(b) - bf(a)}{a - b} \\ y = f'(c) \cdot x + f(c) - cf'(c) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and solving it, we obtain that the solution is $x = \alpha$ and $y = \beta$ given by (3) and (4), meaning that the point $M(\alpha, \beta)$ is the intersection of the two lines.

Remark 3. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$, we obtain the geometrical interpretation of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

Remark 4. The result from Theorem 3, relation (3), is contained in Theorem 1 from [6] and is generalized in Theorem 3 from [5].

Remark 5. The result from Theorem 3, relation (4), is contained in Theorem 5 from [5].

Remark 6. Theorem 3 from this paper unifies Theorem 3 from [5] and Theorem 1 from [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Boggio T., *Sur une proposition de D. Pompeiu*, *Mathematica* 23, 1947-1948, 101-102
- [2] Ivan M., *On some mean value theorems*, Atheneum, Cluj, 1970, 23-25
- [3] Ivan M., *A note on a Pompeiu-type theorem*, *Mathematical Analysis and Approximation Theory*, The 5th Romanian-German Seminar on Approximation Theory, Sibiu, 2002, 129-134
- [4] Pompeiu D., *Sur une proposition analogue au théorème des accroissements finis*, *Mathematica* 22, 1946, 143-146
- [5] Pop S. Maria, *Asupra unor extinderi ale teoremei de medie a lui Cauchy*, *Gazeta matematică*, Seria pentru informare științifică și perfecționare metodică, XIX(XCIII), nr. 2, 1996, 107-112
- [6] Rotaru F., *Asupra unor teoreme de medie*, *Gazeta matematică* 8, 1983, 316-318
- [7] Stamate I., *Asupra unei proprietăți a lui Pompeiu*, *Lucrări Științifice*, Institutul Politehnic Cluj, 1, 1956, 12-15
- [8] Topan Gh., *O extindere a teoremei de medie a lui Cauchy*, *Gazeta Matematică* 2-3, 1982

NATIONAL COLLEGE "MIHAI EMINESCU"
 MIHAI EMINESCU 5, 440014 SATU MARE, ROMANIA
 E-mail address: ovidiutiberiu@yahoo.com