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#### Abstract

In this article we investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the quartic type functional equation $f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)=4 f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(y)-6 f(x)$ by using the fixed point alternative and we shall obtain a better estimate for the difference in norm of a solution of equation and a sub-solution of equation.


## 1. Introduction

In 1940, S.M.Ulam [5] gave the following question concerning the stability of homomorfisms: Let $G_{1}$ be a group and let $G_{2}$ be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that if a function $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(x y), h(x) h(y))<\delta$ for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then there is a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ with $d(h(x), H(x))<\varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$.

In the next year, D.H. Hyers [3] excellently answered the question of Ulam for the case where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are Banach space. Th. M. Rassias [11], T.Aoki [8], Z. Gajda [9] and Găvruţă [7] considered the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences. The stability phenomenon that was introduced and proved by Th. M. Rassias in [11] is called the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability. These terminologies are also applied to the case of other functional equation.
In [14] V. Radu has the excellent idea to use the fixed point alternative to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for functional equations.

Now, we consider the following functional equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 x+y)+f(2 x-y)=4 f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(x)-6 f(y) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It easy to see that the function $f(x)=c x^{4}, c \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfies functional equation (1.1). Hence, it is natural that equation (1.1) is called a quartic functional equation and every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a quartic function. The stability of equation (1.1) was obtained by S.H. Lee, S.M. Im and I.S. Hwang in [13].

Now we introduce another quartic type equation, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)=4 f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [15] J. M. Rassias proved the Hyers-Ulam stability for the functional equation (1.2), using the direct method and proved that:

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a normed linear space and $Y$ be a Banach space, on the real field. If a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\|f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)+6 f(x)-24 f(y)\| \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

for all $x, y \in X$, with a constant $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$, then there exists a unique quartic mapping $c: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-c(x)\| \leqslant \frac{17 \varepsilon}{180} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$. The function $c$ is given by

$$
c(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{4 n}}
$$

for all $x \in X$ and $n \in N$.
In [16] Cădariu and Radu proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equation (1.2) showing that
Theorem 1.2. Let $E$ be a (real or complex) normed space, $F$ a Banach space. Consider $\varepsilon, \delta, p, q$ fixed numbers, such that $\varepsilon, \delta, p, q \geqslant 0$ and either $p, q<4$ or $p, q>4$. Suppose that the mapping $f: E \rightarrow F$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)+6 f(x)-24 f(y)\| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \delta(1-i)+\varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{q}\right) \text { for all } x, y \in E,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i=0$ for $p, q<4$ and $i=1$ for $p, q>4$. Then there exist a unique quartic mapping $c: E \rightarrow F$ which satisfies the inequality

$$
\|f(x)-c(x)\| \leqslant \frac{5 \delta(1-i)}{6\left(2^{4}-2^{q}\right)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{24} \cdot \frac{2^{4}+2^{q}}{\left|2^{4}-2^{q}\right|} \cdot\|x\|^{q}, \forall x \in E
$$

As a particular case of Theorem 1.2, for $\varepsilon=0$ and $p=q=0$ we obtain the result of Theorem 1.1 where the relation (1.3) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-c(x)\| \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{18} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this note we solve the equation (1.2) and prove the stability of functional equation (1.2) using the control function $\varphi(x, y)$ which satisfies the proper conditions, and as a particular case we obtain a better estimate for the difference in norm of a solution of equation and a sub-solution of equation.

## 2. A SOlution of functional equation (1.2)

It is well known [1] that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exist a unique symmetric biadditive function $B$ such that $f(x)=B(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$. The biadditive function $B$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}(f(x+y)-f(x-y)) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this section $X$ and $Y$ will be real vector spaces.
In [13] Lee proved the following Lemma
Lemma 2.1. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) if and only if there exists a symmetric biquadratic function $F: X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x)=F(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) if and only if $f$ satisfies the functional equation (1.1).
Proof. $(\Rightarrow)$ Substituting $x=y=0$ in (1.2) yields $f(0)=0$. Putting $x=0$ in (1.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(-y)=f(y) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us interchange $x$ with $y$ in (1.2) and using (2.6) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)=4 f(x+y)+4 f(x-y)+24 f(y) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equation (1.1)
$(\Leftarrow)$ Substituting $x=y=0$ in (1.1) yields $f(0)=0$. Putting $x=0$ in (1.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(2 y)+f(-2 y)=28 f(y)+4 f(-y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ with $-y$ in (2.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(-y)=f(y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us interchange $x$ with $y$ in (1.1) and using (2.9) we get that function $f$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2)

Using the previous lemmas we get the solution of equation (1.2), and that is:
Lemma 2.3. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2) if and only if there exist a symmetric biquadratic function $F: X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x)=F(x, x)$ for all $x \in X$.

## 3. Stability of equation (1.2)

For explicit later use, we state the following theorem:
Theorem 3.1. (The alternative of fixed point) Suppose that we are given a complete generalized metric space $(\Omega, d)$ and $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ a L-contraction with $L \in[0,1)$. Then, for each given $x \in \Omega$, either

$$
d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)=\infty, \forall n \geqslant 0
$$

or there exist a natural number $n_{0}$ such that

- $d\left(T^{n} x, T^{n+1} x\right)<\infty$ for all $n \geqslant n_{0}$;
- The sequence $\left(T^{n} x\right)_{n \geqslant 0}$ is convergent to a fixed point $y *$ of $T$;
- $y *$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $\Delta=\left\{y \in \Omega \mid d\left(T^{n_{0}} x, y\right)<\infty\right\}$;
- $d(y, y *) \leqslant \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, T y)$ for all $y \in \Delta$.

Utilizing the above-mentioned fixed point alternative, we now obtain our main result, i.e., the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2).

From now on, let $X$ be a real vector space and $Y$ be a real Banach space. Given a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we set
$D f(x, y):=f(x+2 y)+f(x-2 y)+6 f(x)-4 f(x+y)-4 f(x-y)-24 f(y) ; \forall x, y \in X$
Let $\varphi: X \times X \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}^{n} x, \lambda_{i}^{n} y\right)}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\lambda_{i}=2$ if $i=0$ and $\lambda_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ if $i=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(0,-y)=\varphi(0, y), \forall x \in X \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D f(x, y)\| \leqslant \varphi(x, y) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and $f(0)=0$. If there exist $L=L(i)<1$ such that the function

$$
x \mapsto \psi(x)=\phi\left(0, \frac{x}{2}\right)
$$

has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \leqslant L \cdot \lambda_{i}^{4} \psi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda_{i}}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in X$, then there exists a unique quartic function $C: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-C(x)\| \leqslant \frac{L^{1-i}(2+2 L)}{3(1-L)} \psi(x) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in X$.
Proof. Consider the set

$$
\Omega=\{g \mid g: X \rightarrow Y\}
$$

and introduce the generalized metric on $\Omega$,

$$
d(g, h)=d_{\psi}(g, h)=\inf \{K \in(0, \infty) \mid\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leqslant K \psi(x), \forall x \in X\}
$$

It is easy to see that $(\Omega, d)$ is complete. Now we define a mapping $T: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ by

$$
T g(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} g\left(\lambda_{i} x\right), \quad \forall x \in X
$$

Note that for all $g, h \in \Omega$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(g, h)<K & \Rightarrow\|g(x)-h(x)\| \leqslant K \psi(x), x \in X \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} g\left(\lambda_{i} x\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} h\left(\lambda_{i} x\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} K \psi\left(\lambda_{i} x\right), x \in X \\
& \Rightarrow\left\|\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} g\left(\lambda_{i} x\right)-\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} h\left(\lambda_{i} x\right)\right\| \leqslant L K \psi(x), x \in X \\
& \Rightarrow d(T g, T h) \leqslant L K .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we see that

$$
d(T g, T h) \leqslant L d(g, h)
$$

for all $g, h \in \Omega$, that is, $T$ is a strictly contractive selfmapping of $\Omega$ with the Lipschitz constant $L$.

If we put $x=0$ in (3.12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-28 f(y)-4 f(-y)\| \leqslant \varphi(0, y)=\psi(2 y) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute $y:=-y$ in (3.15), we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-28 f(-y)-4 f(y)\| \leqslant \varphi(0,-y)=\psi(2 y) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24\|f(y)-f(-y)\|= \\
& \|[f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-28 f(y)-4 f(-y)]-[f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-28 f(-y)-4 f(y)]\| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant 2 \psi(2 y), \quad \forall y \in X
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(y)-f(-y)\| \leqslant \frac{1}{12} \psi(2 y), \quad \forall y \in X \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.17), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\|f(2 y)-16 f(y)\|= \\
& \|(f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-4 f(-y)-28 f(y))+(f(2 y)-f(-2 y))+4(f(-y)-f(y))\| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant\|f(2 y)+f(-2 y)-4 f(-y)-28 f(y)\|+\|f(2 y)-f(-2 y)\|+4\|f(y)-f(-y)\| \leqslant \\
& \leqslant \psi(2 y)+\frac{1}{12} \psi(4 y)+\frac{1}{3} \psi(2 y)=\frac{4+4 L}{3} \psi(2 y)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(2 y)-16 f(y)\| \leqslant \frac{2+2 L}{3} \psi(2 y) \leqslant \frac{2+2 L}{3} \cdot 16 L \psi(y) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is reduced to

$$
\left\|f(y)-\frac{1}{16} f(2 y)\right\| \leqslant \frac{L(2+2 L)}{3} \psi(y), \quad \forall y \in X
$$

that is, $d(f, T f) \leqslant \frac{L(2+2 L)}{3}<\infty$.
If we substitute $y:=\frac{y}{2}$ in (3.18) and use (3.13), then we see that

$$
\left\|f(y)-2^{4} f\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{2+2 L}{3} \psi(y), \quad \forall y \in X
$$

that is, $d(f, T f) \leqslant \frac{2+2 L}{3}<\infty$.
Now, from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point $C$ of $T$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(\lambda_{i}^{n} x\right)}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}}, \forall x \in X \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(T^{n} f, C\right)=0$.
To show that the function $C: X \rightarrow X$ is quartic, let us replace $x$ and $y$ by $\lambda_{i}^{n} x$ and $\lambda_{i}^{n} y$ in (3.10),respectively, and divide by $\lambda_{i}^{4 n}$. Then it follows from (3.10) and (3.12) that

$$
\|D C(x, y)\|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|D f\left(\lambda_{i}^{n} x, \lambda_{i}^{n} y\right)\right\|}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}} \leqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi\left(\lambda_{i}^{n} x, \lambda_{i}^{n} y\right)}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}}=0, \forall x, y \in X
$$

that is, $C$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2). Therefore Lemma 2.2 guarantees that $C$ is quartic.

According to the fixed point alternative, since $C$ is the unique fixed point of $T$ in the set $\Delta=\{g \in \Omega: d(f, g)<\infty\}, C$ is the unique function such that

$$
\|f(x)-C(x)\| \leqslant K \psi(x)
$$

for all $x \in X$ and some $K>0$. Again using the fixed point alternative, we have

$$
d(f, C) \leqslant \frac{1}{1-L} d(f, T f)
$$

and so we obtain the inequality

$$
d(f, C) \leqslant \frac{L^{1-i}(2+2 L)}{3(1-L)}
$$

which yields the inequality (3.14). This completes the proof of theorem.
From Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following corollary concerning the HyersUlam stability of the functional equation (1.2).

Corollary 3.1. Let $X$ and $Y$ be a normed space and a Banach space, respectively. Let $p \geqslant 0$ be given with $p \neq 4$. Assume that $\delta \geqslant 0$ and $\varepsilon \geqslant 0$ are fixed. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D f(x, y)\| \leqslant \delta+\varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Furthermore, assume that $f(0)=0$ and $\delta=0$ in (3.20) for the case $p>4$. Then there exists a unique quartic function $C: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-C(x)\| \leqslant \frac{2+2^{p-3}}{3\left(2^{4-p}-1\right)} \delta+\frac{\left(2+2^{p-3}\right) \varepsilon}{3\left(16-2^{p}\right)}\|x\|^{p} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in X$, where $p<4$, or the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-C(x)\| \leqslant \frac{\left(2+2^{5-p}\right) \varepsilon}{3\left(2^{p}-16\right)}\|x\|^{p} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in X$, where $p>4$.
Proof. Let $\phi(x, y):=\delta+\varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right), \forall x, y \in X$. Then it follows that

$$
\frac{\phi\left(\lambda_{i}^{n} x, \lambda_{i}^{n} y\right)}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}}=\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}^{4 n}}+\left(\lambda_{i}^{n}\right)^{p-4} \varepsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $p<4$, if $i=0$ and $p>4$, if $i=1$, that is, the relation (3.12) is true.

Since the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{4}} \psi\left(\lambda_{i} x\right)=\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{i}^{4}}+\frac{\lambda_{i}^{p-4}}{2^{p}} \varepsilon\|x\|^{p} \leqslant \lambda_{i}^{p-4} \psi(x)
$$

holds for all $x \in X$, where $p<4$ if $i=0$ and $p>4$ if $i=1$, we see that the inequality (3.13) holds with either $L=2^{p-4}$ or $L=2^{4-p}$. Now the inequality (3.14) yields the inequality (3.21) and (3.22) which complete the proof of the corollary.

The following corollary is the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equation (1.2).

Corollary 3.2. Let $X$ and $Y$ be a normed space and a Banach space, respectively. Assume that $\theta \geqslant 0$ is fixed. Suppose that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the functional inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D f(x, y)\| \leqslant \theta \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exist a unique quartic function $C: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-C(x)\| \leqslant \frac{11}{720} \theta \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $x \in X$.
Proof. In Corollary 3.1, putting $\delta:=0, p:=0$ and $\varepsilon:=\frac{\theta}{2}$, we arrive at the conclusion of the corollary.

Conclusion. We applied the alternative of fixed point to obtain the stability of equation (1.2), and is easy to see that our estimate (3.24) is better than estimate (1.3) and (1.4)
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