

*Dedicated to Professor Iulian Coroian on the occasion of his 70<sup>th</sup> anniversary*

## Fixed point theory for nonself multivalued operators on a set with two metrics

CRISTIAN CHIFU AND GABRIELA PETRUȘEL

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this work is to present some fixed point results for multivalued operators on a set with two metrics. The data dependence and the well-posedness of the fixed point problem are also discussed.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, the standard notations and terminologies in nonlinear analysis (see [15], [16]) are used. For the convenience of the reader we recall some of them.

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. By  $\tilde{B}_d(x_0, r)$  we denote the closed ball centered in  $x_0 \in X$  with radius  $r > 0$ .

We will also use the following symbols:

$$P(X) := \{Y \subset X \mid Y \text{ is nonempty}\}, P_{cl}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is closed}\},$$

$$P_b(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is bounded}\}, P_{b,cl}(X) := P_{cl}(X) \cap P_b(X).$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be nonempty subsets of the metric space  $(X, d)$ . The gap between these sets is

$$D_d(A, B) = \inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

In particular,  $D_d(x_0, B) = D_d(\{x_0\}, B)$  (where  $x_0 \in X$ ) is called the distance from the point  $x_0$  to the set  $B$ .

The Pompeiu-Hausdorff generalized distance between the nonempty closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of the metric space  $(X, d)$  is defined by the following formula:

$$H_d(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} d(a, b)\}$$

If  $A, B \in P_{b,cl}(X)$ , then one denote

$$\delta_d(A, B) := \sup\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

The symbol  $T : X \rightarrow P(Y)$  denotes a set-valued operator. We will denote by  $Graph(T) := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in T(x)\}$  the graph of  $T$ . Recall that the set-valued operator is called closed if  $Graph(T)$  is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$ , i.e., if  $x_n \subset X$  and  $y_n \in T(x_n)$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and if  $y_n \xrightarrow{d} y^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $y^* \in T(x^*)$ .

---

Received: 28.10.2008. In revised form: 03.02.2009. Accepted: 11.05.2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Set with two metrics, multivalued operator, fixed point, strict fixed point, well-posed fixed point problem, generalized contraction, data dependence.

For  $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  the symbol  $F_T := \{x \in X \mid x \in T(x)\}$  denotes the fixed point set of the set-valued operator  $T$ , while  $(SF)_T := \{x \in X \mid \{x\} = T(x)\}$  is the strict fixed point set of  $T$ .

If  $(X, d)$  is a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  is called a multivalued  $a$ -contraction if  $a \in ]0, 1[$  and  $H(T(x_1), T(x_2)) \leq a \cdot d(x_1, x_2)$ , for each  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

In the same setting, an operator  $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  is a multivalued weakly Picard operator (briefly MWP operator) (see [16]) if for each  $x \in X$  and each  $y \in T(x)$  there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  such that:

- i)  $x_0 = x, x_1 = y$
- ii)  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
- iii) the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent and its limit is a fixed point of  $T$ .

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  satisfying the condition (ii) from the previous definition is called the sequence of successive approximations of  $T$  starting from  $x_0 \in X$ .

The purpose of this paper is to present a fixed point theory for multivalued nonself contractions defined on a ball in a space endowed with two metric structures. Our results extend some previous theorems given by A. Petruşel and I.A. Rus in [7], as well as, some other results in the literature, see [1], [2], [4], [6], [14].

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Our first theorem is a fixed point result for multivalued nonself contractions on a set with two metrics.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $d$  and  $\rho$  two metrics on  $X$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $T : \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r) \rightarrow P(X)$  be a multivalued operator.*

*We suppose that:*

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq c\rho(x, y)$  for each  $x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ ;
- (iii)  $T : (\tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r), d) \rightarrow (P(X), H_d)$  is closed;
- (iv) there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$  such that

$$H_\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y) \text{ for each } x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$$

- (v)  $D_\rho(x_0, T(x_0)) < (1 - \alpha)r$ .

*Then:*

- (a)  $F_T \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (b) there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$  such that:
  - (1)  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
  - (2)  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^* \in T(x^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$ . From (v) we have that there exists  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  with  $\rho(x_0, x_1) < (1 - \alpha)r \leq r$ . From (iv) we have that:

$$H_\rho(T(x_0), T(x_1)) \leq \alpha\rho(x_0, x_1) < \alpha(1 - \alpha)r$$

On the other hand, it is known that, if  $A, B \in P_{cl}(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then:

$$H(A, B) < \epsilon \text{ implies that, for all } a \in A, \text{ there exists } b \in B \text{ such that } \rho(a, b) < \epsilon \quad (*)$$

From (\*) we have that there exists  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that  $\rho(x_1, x_2) < \alpha(1 - \alpha)r$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_0, x_2) &\leq \rho(x_0, x_1) + \rho(x_1, x_2) \\ &< (1 - \alpha)r + \alpha(1 - \alpha)r \\ &= (1 - \alpha^2)r \leq r\end{aligned}$$

hence  $x_2 \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ .

Inductively we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$  having the properties:

- ( $\alpha$ )  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- ( $\beta$ )  $\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)r$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will prove now that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy with respect to  $\rho$ .

We successively have:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + \rho(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}) \\ &< \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)r + \alpha^{n+1}(1 - \alpha)r + \cdots + \alpha^{n+p-1}(1 - \alpha)r \\ &\leq \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)r(1 + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha^{n+p-1} + \cdots) \\ &< \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)r \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} = \alpha^n r.\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\alpha^n \rightarrow 0$ , it follows that:

$$\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \rho)$

From (ii) it follows that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy in  $(X, d)$ . Denote by  $x^* \in X$  the limit of this sequence. From (i) and (iii) we get that  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^* \in T(x^*)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let us present now the notion of well-posedness for a fixed point problem.

**Definition 2.1.** (A. Petruşel and I. A. Rus [8]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $Y \in P(X)$  and  $T : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  be a multivalued operator. Then the fixed point problem for  $T$  with respect to  $D_d$  is well-posed iff:

- (a<sub>1</sub>)  $F_T = \{x^*\}$ ;
- (b<sub>1</sub>) If  $x_n \in Y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D_d(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (A. Petruşel and I. A. Rus [8]) Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $Y \in P(X)$  and  $T : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  be a multivalued operator. Then the fixed point problem for  $T$  with respect to  $H_d$  is well-posed iff:

- (a<sub>2</sub>)  $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ ;
- (b<sub>2</sub>) If  $x_n \in Y$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $H_d(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

For other details and results on this topic see A. Petruşel, I. A. Rus and J.-C. Yao [9].

Next, we will prove a strict fixed point theorem for a multivalued nonself contraction.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $d$  and  $\rho$  two metrics on  $X$ ,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $T : \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r) \rightarrow P(X)$  be a multivalued operator. We suppose that:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq c\rho(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ ;
- (iii)  $T : (\tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r), d) \rightarrow (P(X), H_d)$  is closed;
- (iv) there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$  such that

$$H_\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y), \text{ for each } x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r);$$

$$(v) D_\rho(x_0, T(x_0)) < (1 - \alpha)r;$$

$$(vi) (SF)_T \neq \emptyset.$$

Then we have:

$$(a) F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\};$$

$$(b) \rho(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} H_\rho(x, T(x)) \text{ for each } x \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r);$$

(c) the fixed point problem is well-posed for  $T$  with respect to  $D_\rho$ .

*Proof.* (a) From Theorem 1 it results  $F_T \neq \emptyset$ . From (vi) we have that there exists  $x^* \in (SF)_T$ . It is obvious that  $(SF)_T \subset F_T$ . We will prove that  $F_T \subset (SF)_T$ .

Let  $y \in F_T$ . We will prove that  $y = x^*$ .

By putting  $x := x^*$  in (iv) we have

$$H_\rho(T(x^*), T(y)) \leq \alpha\rho(x^*, y).$$

We have that:

$$\rho(x^*, y) = D_\rho(T(x^*), y) \leq H_\rho(T(x^*), T(y)) \leq \alpha\rho(x^*, y).$$

Thus,  $y = x^*$  and so  $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ .

(b) We successively have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, x^*) &\leq H_\rho(x, T(x)) + H_\rho(T(x), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq H_\rho(x, T(x)) + \alpha\rho(x, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\rho(x, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} H_\rho(x, T(x)).$$

(c) Let  $x_n \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $D_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We will prove that  $\rho(x_n, x^*) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We have:

$$\rho(x_n, x^*) \leq D_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + H_\rho(T(x_n), T(x^*)) \leq D_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + \alpha\rho(x_n, x^*).$$

Thus,  $\rho(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} D_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

A data dependence result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $d$  and  $\rho$  two metrics on  $X$  and  $T, S : \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r) \rightarrow P(X)$  be two multivalued operators. We suppose that:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;
- (ii) there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq c\rho(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ ;
- (iii)  $T : (\tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r), d) \rightarrow (P(X), H_d)$  is closed;
- (iv) there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$  such that  $H_\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq \alpha\rho(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ ;
- (v)  $D_\rho(x_0, T(x_0)) < (1 - \alpha)r$ ;

- (vi)  $(SF)_T \neq \emptyset$ ;  
 (vii)  $F_S \neq \emptyset$ ;  
 (viii) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that  $H_\rho(T(x), S(x)) \leq \eta$ , for each  $x \in \tilde{B}_\rho(x_0, r)$ .  
 Then  $H_\rho(F_T, F_S) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 2 we have  $F_T = (SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ . Let  $y^* \in F_S$ . Then:  
 $\rho(y^*, x^*) \leq H_\rho(S(y^*), x^*) \leq H_\rho(S(y^*), T(y^*)) + H_\rho(T(y^*), T(x^*)) \leq \eta + \alpha\rho(y^*, x^*)$ . Hence:

$$\rho(y^*, x^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}.$$

Hence  $H_\rho(F_T, F_S) = \sup_{y^* \in F_S} \rho(y^*, x^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We will present now a strict fixed point theorem for the so-called Reich  $\delta$ -contractions, on a set endowed with two metrics.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  be a nonempty set and  $d, \rho$  two metrics on  $X$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow P_b(X)$  be a multivalued operator.

We suppose that:

- (i)  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space;  
 (ii) there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $d(x, y) \leq c\rho(x, y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;  
 (iii) there exist  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $a + b + c < 1$  such that

$$\delta_\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq a\rho(x, y) + b\delta_\rho(x, T(x)) + c\delta_\rho(y, T(y)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then:

- (a)  $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ ;  
 (b) for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that:  
 (b<sub>1</sub>)  $x_0 = x, x_1 = y$ ;  
 (b<sub>2</sub>)  $x_{n+1} \in T(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;  
 (b<sub>3</sub>)  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^* \in (SF)_T, n \rightarrow \infty$ .  
 (c) the fixed point problem is well-posed for  $T$  with respect to  $H_\rho$ .

**Proof.** (a) and (b) Let  $q > 1$  and  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrarily chosen. Then, there exists  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  such that

$$\delta_\rho(x_0, T(x_0)) \leq q\rho(x_0, x_1).$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) &\leq \delta_\rho(T(x_0), T(x_1)) \leq a\rho(x_0, x_1) + b\delta_\rho(x_0, T(x_0)) \\ &\quad + c\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq (a + bq)\rho(x_0, x_1) + c\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq \frac{a + bq}{1 - c}\rho(x_0, x_1)$$

For  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$ , there exists  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that

$$\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq q\rho(x_1, x_2).$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\rho(x_2, T(x_2)) &\leq \delta_\rho(T(x_1), T(x_2)) \\ &\leq a\rho(x_1, x_2) + b\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) + c\delta_\rho(x_2, T(x_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (a + bq)\rho(x_1, x_2) + c\delta_\rho(x_2, T(x_2)).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\rho(x_2, T(x_2)) &\leq \frac{a + bq}{1 - c}\rho(x_1, x_2) \\ &\leq \frac{a + bq}{1 - c}\delta_\rho(x_1, T(x_1)) \leq \left(\frac{a + bq}{1 - c}\right)^2 \rho(x_0, x_1).\end{aligned}$$

We construct inductively the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with the properties:

( $\alpha$ )  $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ;

( $\beta$ )  $\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \leq \left(\frac{a + bq}{1 - c}\right)^n \rho(x_0, x_1)$ .

Denote by  $\alpha := \frac{a + bq}{1 - c}$ .

We prove now that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence with respect to  $\rho$ .

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \cdots + \rho(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p}) \\ &\leq (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \cdots + \alpha^{n+p-1})\rho(x_0, x_1).\end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}) &\leq \alpha^n(1 + \alpha + \cdots + \alpha^{p-1})\rho(x_0, x_1) \\ &= \alpha^n \frac{\alpha^p - 1}{\alpha - 1} \rho(x_0, x_1).\end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $q < \frac{1 - a - c}{b}$ , then we have that  $\alpha < 1$ . Hence,  $\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and thus  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $(X, \rho)$ .

From (ii) we get that there exists  $c > 0$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq c\rho(x_n, x_{n+p}).$$

Hence, we get  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ .

By the completeness of the space  $(X, d)$ , it follows that there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{d} x^*$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

We prove now that  $x^* \in (SF)_T$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) &\leq \rho(x^*, x_n) + \delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + \delta_\rho(T(x_n), T(x^*)) \\ &\leq \rho(x^*, x_n) + \delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + a\rho(x_n, x^*) + b\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + c\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*))\end{aligned}$$

We have:

$$\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) \leq \frac{1 + a}{1 - c}\rho(x^*, x_n) + \frac{1 + b}{1 - c}\delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Notice that, in the above relation, we have used the fact that  $\delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \leq \alpha^n \rho(x_0, x_1)$ .

Hence,  $\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) = 0$  and thus  $x^* \in (SF)_T$ .

We will prove now the uniqueness of the strict fixed point. Suppose that there exist  $x^*, y^* \in (SF)_T$ . Then:

$$\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) = 0, \quad \delta_\rho(y^*, T(y^*)) = 0.$$

We have:

$$\rho(x^*, y^*) = \delta_\rho(T(x^*), T(y^*)) \leq a\rho(x^*, y^*) + b\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) + c\delta_\rho(y^*, T(y^*)).$$

If  $\rho(x^*, y^*) > 0$ , then  $a \geq 1$ , which is contradiction with the hypothesis.

If  $\rho(x^*, y^*) = 0$ , then  $x^* = y^*$  and thus  $(SF)_T = \{x^*\}$ .

(c) Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

We will prove that  $\rho(x_n, x^*) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x_n, x^*) &\leq \rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \rho(x_{n+1}, x^*) \leq H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x^*)) \leq \\ &H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + \delta_\rho(T(x_n), T(x^*)) \leq H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + a\rho(x_n, x^*) + b\delta_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + \\ &c\delta_\rho(x^*, T(x^*)) = (1+b)H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) + a\rho(x_n, x^*). \text{ Thus} \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{1+b}{1-a} \cdot H_\rho(x_n, T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

The proof is now complete.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., Dshalalow, J.H. and O'Regan, D., *Fixed point and homotopy results for generalized contractive maps of Reich type*, Appl. Anal., **82** (2003), 329-350
- [2] Covitz, H. and Nadler S.B. Jr., *Multi-valued contraction mapping in generalized metric spaces*, Israel J. Math., **8** (1970), 5-11
- [3] Frigon, M. and Granas, A., *Résultats du type de Leray-Schauder pour les contractions multivoques*, Topol. Math. Nonlinear Anal., **4** (1994), 197-208
- [4] Nadler S.B. Jr., *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **30** (1969), 475-488
- [5] Petruşel, A., *Generalized multivalued contractions*, Nonlinear Analysis, **47** (2001), 649-659
- [6] Petruşel, A., *Multivalued weakly Picard operators and applications*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, **59** (2004), 169-202
- [7] Petruşel, A. and Rus, I.A., *Fixed point theory for multivalued operators on a set with two metrics*, Fixed Point Theory, **8** (2007), 97-104
- [8] Petruşel, A. and Rus, I.A., *Well-posedness of the fixed point problem for multivalued operators*, Applied Analysis and Differential Equations (Cârjă O, Vrabie II. eds.) World Scientific 2007, 295-306
- [9] Petruşel, A., Rus, I.A. and Yao, J.C., *Well-posedness in the generalized sense of the fixed point problems for multivalued operators*, Taiwanese J. Math., **11** (2007), 903-914
- [10] Reich, S., *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. U.M.I., **5** (1972), 26-42
- [11] Reich, S., *A fixed point theorem for locally contractive multi-valued functions*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **17** (1972), 569-572
- [12] Rus, I.A., *Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica, **20** (1975), 21-24
- [13] Rus, I.A., *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Transilvania Press Cluj-Napoca, 2001
- [14] Rus, I.A., *Strict fixed point theory*, Fixed Point Theory, **4** (2003), 177-183
- [15] Rus, I.A., Petruşel, A. and Petruşel, G., *Fixed Point Theory 1950-2000: Romanian Contributions*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj-Napoca, 2002
- [16] Rus, I.A., Petruşel, A. and Sintămărian, A., *Data dependence of the fixed point set of some multivalued weakly Picard operators*, Nonlinear Anal., **52** (2003), 1947-1959

BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY  
 DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS  
 HOREA STREET NO. 7  
 400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* cristian.chifu@tbs.ubbcluj.ro  
*E-mail address:* gabi.petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro