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#### Abstract

An operatorial approach for the equations obtained by the homogenization method in the case of thin thermoelastic porous plates is introduced. Based on this formulation an uniqueness theorem concerning the solution is deduced. The dynamical equations obtained by the homogenization method for thin thermoelastic porous plates are numerically investigated showing a stabilization of the solution.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

The new interest in thin thermoelastic plates is motivated in part by the observation that thermoelasticity can be in certain circumstances a dominant and unavoidable source of dissipation and noise. The two dimensional nature of thin thermoelastic plates introduce flexure in two directions which imply 3dimensional mathematical models and more complicated analytical and numerical investigations [7]. This complexity is in fact main reason for the the derivation of simpler governing equations including thermal effects (thermal stress, strain and deformation) and similar to the Kirchhoff equations [2], [10]. In [3], following the theory of Lord-Shulman [8] and Green-Lindsay [6] for thermoelastic plates, numerical evaluations on the thermal stresses in a thin porous plate due to the radiations of a thermal source are given.

Here our main interest is to obtain an uniqueness result for the solution of the corresponding dynamical problem. The mathematical model governing the thermoelasticity of the homogeneous plate is obtained using the homogenization method. The uniqueness theorem is based on the following result: the conduction-convection tensor is a positive definite operator. The design of structures operating at an elevated temperature such as nuclear operators, chemical plants are a serious motivation for the investigation of plane thermal stresses in a multiply-connected region. In [12] the proposed method for the solution of the plane thermoelastic problem is based on the necessary integral conditions for the single-valuedness of solution and displacement. In our case, the temperature and the displacement field are used to clarify numerically some stability aspects of the solution.
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## 2. The mathematical model

Let us consider the following Dirichlet problem [9]

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, D) u=f \\
u \mid \partial \Omega=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

In our particular case, the equation (2.1) defines the governing dynamical equation of the homogeneous and isotropic media and it is given by

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\sum_{k, l=1}^{3} a_{i j k l} \cdot e_{k l}-\beta \theta \delta_{i j}\right)=\rho_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}}{\partial t^{2}}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

In (2.1)-(2.2), the equation is the divergence equation, so the entire problem is called the homogeneous Dirichlet problem for the divergence equation.

Due to a relaxation condition concerning the smoothness of the solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.2), in [9] some generalized solutions are obtained. This type of solutions are very useful not only in technical and physical applications but also for other branches in mathematics. The uniqueness and existence theorems of the generalized solution of the Dirichlet (or Neumann) divergence problem deduced in [9] are based on the existence of eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors. Conditions for the existence of eigenvalues and eigenvectors are also given.

## Nomenclature

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t-\text { time ; } \\
& \beta-\text { a constitutive material coefficient ; } \\
& c_{t}-\text { the heat transfer coefficient; } \\
& S^{*}-\text { the heat source, assumed constant; } \\
& a_{i j k l}^{H}-\text { the elasticity tensor; } \\
& a_{i j k l}=\lambda \delta_{i j} \delta_{k l}+\mu\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}+\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right) ; \\
& \mathcal{T}=\left[0, t_{1}\right]-\text { time interval, } t_{1}>0 ; \\
& \Omega=[0, L] \times[0, l] \times\left[-\frac{h_{0}}{2}, \frac{h_{0}}{2}\right]-\text { the plate domain; } \\
& \delta_{i j}-\text { the Kronecker symbol; } \\
& \theta^{0}-\text { the main term in the asymptotic expression } \\
& \text { of the absolute temperature of the plate } \\
& \text { given by the homogenization method }[11] ; \\
& \rho_{0}-\text { the density value at } t=0 \\
& \text { assumed constant; } \\
& \sigma_{i j}^{H}-\text { the stress tensor given by } \\
& \text { the homogenization method; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\bar{x}$ - the coordinate vector of a point in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$;
$c_{e}-$ the specific heat ;
$k$ - the conduction-convection coefficient ;
$\sigma-$ the Stefan-Boltzmann constant;
$L, l, h_{0}$ - the dimensions of the plate ;
$\lambda, \mu$ - Lamé constants;
$\Sigma^{+}, \Sigma^{-}-$upper and inner surface of the plate;
$\Sigma_{i}, \quad i=\overline{1,4}-$ the lateral faces of the plate;
$e_{k l}(\bar{u})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)-$
the small deformations tensor;
$\bar{u}^{0}$ - the main term in the asymptotic expression of the displacement vector given by the homogenization method [11]; $\varepsilon \in(0,1]-$ a parameter depending on the material(for instance $\varepsilon=1$ for black);
$\partial \Omega=\Sigma^{+} \bigcup \Sigma^{-} \bigcup \bigcup_{j=1}^{4} \Sigma_{j}-$ the boundary of $\Omega ;$
$\bar{n}-$ exterior normal to surface $\partial \Omega$;

The governing equations of the dynamical problem for thermoelastic thin porous plates are obtained using the homogenization method [11]; they represent o genelization of the above

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left[a_{i j k l}^{H} \cdot e_{k l}-\beta \cdot \theta^{0} \cdot \delta_{i j}\right]=\rho_{0} \cdot \frac{\partial^{2} u_{i}^{0}}{\partial t^{2}}  \tag{2.3}\\
\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left(k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{i}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

in which the elasticity tensor $\left(a_{i j k l}^{H}\right)_{i, j, k, l=1}^{3}$ given by the homogenization method has the expression $a_{i j k l}^{H}=\frac{1}{|P|} \cdot \int_{P} a_{i j m h}\left[\delta_{m k} \delta_{h l}+e_{m h y}\left(w^{k l}\right)\right] d y$ and the conduction-convection tensor also given by the homogenization method $\left(k_{i j}^{H}\right)_{i, j=1}^{3}$ is defined by $k_{i j}^{H}=k \cdot\left(\delta_{i j}+\frac{1}{|P|} \cdot \int_{P} \frac{\partial \beta_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} d y\right)$. Following the homogenization theory concepts from [11], we assume that $P$ is a parallelepipedic cell of dimension $\epsilon_{0} \ll 1$.

Let us define the Hilbert space $\widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P)$ (with respect to the norm from $H^{1}$ ),
$\widetilde{H}_{\text {per }}^{1}(P)=\left\{v \in H^{1}(P): v\right.$ is a $P-$ periodic function, $\left.\quad \frac{1}{|P|} \cdot \int_{P} v d y=0\right\}$.
The vector fields $\left(w^{k l}\right)_{k, l=1}^{3},\left(\beta_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{3}$ are solutions for the following variational problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w^{k l} \in \widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P)  \tag{2.4}\\
\int_{P} a_{i j m h} \cdot e_{m h y}\left(w^{k l}\right) \cdot e_{i j y}(\bar{v}) d y=\int_{P} \frac{\partial a_{i j k l}}{\partial y_{i}} \cdot v_{j} d y, \quad \forall \bar{v} \in \widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P)
\end{array}\right.
$$

respectively

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{k} \in \widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P)  \tag{2.5}\\
\int_{P} \frac{\partial \beta_{k}}{\partial y_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{i}} d y=\int_{P} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{k}} d y, \quad \forall v \in \widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The problem (2.3) with the corresponding boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j=1}^{3} \sigma_{i j}^{H} \cdot n_{j}=0, \quad(i=\overline{1,3}), \text { on } \partial \Omega  \tag{2.6}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+\varepsilon \cdot \sigma \cdot\left(\theta^{0^{4}}-S^{* 4}\right)=0, \text { on } \Sigma^{+}: x_{3}=\frac{h_{0}}{2} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{3} n_{j} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{i}}+c_{t} \cdot\left(\theta^{0}-S^{*}\right)=0, \text { on } \bigcup_{j=1}^{4} \Sigma_{j} ; \\
\theta^{0}=S^{*}, \text { on } \Sigma^{-}: x_{3}=-\frac{h_{0}}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the following initial conditions [4]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i}^{0}(x, 0)=\widetilde{u}_{i}, \quad i=\overline{1,3}, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{2.7}\\
\theta^{0}(x, 0)=\widetilde{\theta}, \quad x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

is an initial boundary value problem.

A variational formulation for the dynamical problem (2.3) has been written in $\widetilde{H}_{p e r}^{1}(P)$ space. Following [1] the functional defining the variational formulation is assumed to be a positive definite quadratic form.

## 3. The uniqueness of the solution

In order to formulate the result based on the energy partition, it is useful to introduce the following functions depending on $t, t \in \mathcal{T}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
K(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \cdot \dot{u}_{i}^{0}(x, t) \cdot \dot{u}_{i}^{0}(x, t) d x, W(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\sigma_{i j}^{H} \cdot e_{i j}\left(\bar{u}^{0}\right)+\theta^{0^{2}}(x, t)\right] d x .  \tag{3.8}\\
P(s)=\varepsilon \cdot \sigma \int_{\Sigma^{+}} \theta^{0} \cdot\left(S^{* 4}-\theta^{0^{4}}\right) d a . \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. For each solution $\left(\bar{u}^{0}, \theta^{0}\right)$ of the initial-boundary value problem (2.3)-(2.6)(2.7) the functions $W$ and $K$ from (3.8) satisfy the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(t)+K(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} d x d s-\int_{0}^{t} P(s) d s=W(0)+K(0) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof of the Lemma is based on the identity

$$
\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{d}{d t}\left[\sigma_{i j}^{H} \cdot e_{i j}\left(\bar{u}^{0}\right)+\theta^{0^{2}}(x, t)\right]=\sigma_{i j}^{H} \cdot \dot{e}_{i j}\left(\bar{u}^{0}\right)+\theta^{0}(x, t) \cdot \dot{\theta}^{0}(x, t) .
$$

The above relation is integrated over $\Omega$ and taking into account all the equations that define the dynamical problem (2.3), the definition of the $e_{i j}$ tensor and the divergence theorem, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}[W(t)+K(t)]=\varepsilon \cdot \sigma \int_{\Sigma^{+}} \theta^{0} \cdot\left(S^{* 4}-\theta^{0^{4}}\right) d a-\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta^{0}}{\partial x_{j}} d x
$$

Integrating the above relation over $[0, t]$ the result of the theorem is proved.
Theorem 3.1. For $r, s \in \mathcal{T}$ let $u$ introduce the new function $Y(r, s)$ defined by

$$
Y(r, s):=\varepsilon \cdot \sigma \int_{\Sigma^{+}} \theta^{0}(x, r) \cdot\left(S^{* 4}-\theta^{0^{4}}(x, s)\right) d x .
$$

Then $W(t)-K(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot[Y(t+s, t-s)-Y(t-s, t+s)] d s+$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\sigma_{i j}^{H}(2 t) \cdot e_{i j}(0)+\theta^{0}(2 t) \cdot \theta^{0}(0)\right] d x-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \cdot \dot{u}_{i}(2 t) \cdot \dot{u}_{i}(0) d x . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For arbitrary $t, s \in \mathcal{T}$ we can introduce the following notation

$$
E(t, s)=\sigma_{i j}^{H}(t+s) \cdot e_{i j}(t-s)+\theta^{0}(t+s) \cdot \theta^{0}(t-s)
$$

in which, in order to simplify the symbolic writing, the argument $x$ was eliminated. The expressions of the $\sigma_{i j}^{H}$ and $e_{i j}$ tensors, lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial s} E(t, s)=\dot{\sigma}_{j}^{H}(t+s) \cdot e_{i j}(t-s)-\sigma_{i j}^{H}(t+s) \cdot \dot{e}_{i j}(t-s)+\dot{\theta}^{0}(t+s) \cdot \theta^{0}(t-s)- \\
& -\theta^{0}(t+s) \cdot \dot{\theta}^{0}(t-s)=a_{i j k l}^{H} \cdot \dot{e}_{k l}(t+s) \cdot e_{i j}(t-s)-\sigma_{i j}^{H}(t+s) \cdot \dot{e}_{i j}(t-s)+ \\
& +\dot{\theta}^{0}(t+s) \cdot \theta^{0}(t-s)-\theta^{0}(t+s) \cdot \dot{\theta}^{0}(t-s)=\left[\sigma_{k l}^{H}(t-s) \cdot \dot{e}_{k l}(t+s)+\dot{\theta}^{0}(t+s) .\right. \\
& \left.\cdot \theta^{0}(t-s)\right]--\left[\sigma_{i j}^{H}(t+s) \cdot \dot{e}_{i j}(t-s)+\dot{\theta}^{0}(t-s) \cdot \theta^{0}(t+s)\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating over $\Omega$ and taking into account the boundary conditions (2.6), we get
$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} E(t, s) d x=\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \cdot \dot{u}_{i}(t+s) \cdot \dot{u}_{i}(t-s) d x+\frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot[Y(t-s, t+s)-Y(t+s, t-s)]$.
Another integration step over $[0, t]$ of the above expression give us the result of the theorem

Theorem 3.2. The conduction-convection tensor $\left(k_{i j}^{H}\right)$ is a positive definite tensor.
Proof. Each component $\beta_{j}, j=1,2,3$ from the definition of the conductionconvection tensor is the solution of the following equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\triangle_{\bar{y}} \beta_{j}=0, \quad \text { in } P  \tag{3.13}\\
\bar{n} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{y}} \beta_{j}=-n_{j}, \quad \text { on } \partial P \\
\beta_{j} P-\text { periodic } \\
\left.<\beta_{j}>=0, \text { with }<\beta_{j}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{|P|} \cdot \int_{P} \beta_{j}(y) d y .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This imply $\int_{P} \frac{\partial \beta_{j}}{\partial y_{i}} d y=-\int_{P} \nabla_{\bar{y}} \beta_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{y}} \beta_{i} d y$ so the conduction tensor $k_{i j}^{H}$ is a symmetric operator, i.e. $k_{i j}^{H}=k_{j i}^{H}=k \cdot\left(\delta_{i j}-\frac{1}{|P|} \cdot \int_{P} \nabla_{\bar{y}} \beta_{j} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{y}} \beta_{i} d y\right)$.
In addition, let us define $B_{j}=-\beta_{j}-y_{j}$ in $P$. The conduction-convection tensor can be written $k_{i j}^{H}=k_{j i}^{H}=k \cdot\left\langle\nabla_{\bar{y}} B_{i} \cdot \nabla_{\bar{y}} B_{j}\right\rangle$. With the representation we have $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{3} k_{i j}^{H} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geq 0$ which establish the positivity of the conductionconvection tensor.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\rho_{0}>0$. Then the initial-boundary value problem (2.3) - (2.6) - (2.7) has a unique solution.
Proof. Assume that two solution of the the initial boundary value problem (2.3) - (2.6) - (2.7) exists and denote these solutions with $\left\{u_{i}^{1}, \theta_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{i}^{2}, \theta_{2}\right\}$ respectively. Then, the difference between the two solutions, i.e. $\left\{u_{i}, \theta\right\}$, satisfies the equation (2.3), but with homogeneous initial and boundary conditions. Let us assume that for a fixed value of $t>0$ we have $\theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2}$. Substracting the expressions from (3.10)-(3.11) and evaluating the result for the difference of the two solutions, $\left(u_{i}, \theta\right)$, the equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} d x d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Sigma^{+}} \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \sigma}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot f(r) \cdot g(r) d a d r=0 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f(r)=\theta_{1}(r)-\theta_{2}(r)$ and $g(r)=\left[\theta_{1}(r)+\theta_{2}(r)\right] \cdot\left[\theta_{1}^{2}(r)+\theta_{2}^{2}(r)\right] \cdot\left[\left(\theta_{1}(2 t-r)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\theta_{1}(r)\right)-\left(\theta_{2}(2 t-r)-\theta_{2}(r)\right)\right]-\left[\theta_{1}{ }^{4}(2 t-r)+\theta_{1}{ }^{4}(r)-\theta_{2}{ }^{4}(2 t-r)-\theta_{2}{ }^{4}(r)\right]$. In these conditions, there exists a value $\xi \in(0, t)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 K(t)+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} d x d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma^{+}} g(\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\varepsilon \cdot \sigma}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot f(r) d r d a=0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $\rho_{0}>0$ and $\left(k_{i j}^{H}\right)$ - a positive definite operator, we obtain $K(t)=0$. We can also write $\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\rho_{0} \cdot c_{e}} \cdot k_{i j}^{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x_{j}} d x d s=0$. This lead us to $\dot{u}_{i}=0$, which imply that $u_{i}=0$, on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathcal{T}$. Similarly, we can write the relation for $\left(u_{i}, \theta\right)$ and obtain $W(t)=0, \quad t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\theta=0$, on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathcal{T}$. This proves that the solution of the initial-boundary value problem (2.3) - (2.6) - (2.7) is unique.

## 4. Numerical investigations and conclusions

The physical domain characterizing the thin porous plates (a magnesium plate in our case) is given by $[0, L] \times[0, l] \times\left[-h_{0} / 2, h_{0} / 2\right], L=400 \mathrm{~mm}, l=250 \mathrm{~mm}$, $h_{0}=8 \mathrm{~mm}$ and with $S^{*}=800 \mathrm{~K}$. A complete characterization of the dynamical problem is given here by the isovalues for the vertical displacement fields. displacementfield: iteration2


Figure 1. Numerical isovalues for the absolute temperature and the displacement fields in the case of a magnesium porous plates
The curves represented in Figure 1 are the isotherms curves connecting the points with the same displacement field. They are obtained using the Freefm++ soft based on the finite element method. In our case, even for the deformation process, the medium is a periodic one, so the porosity is considered as a constant value. Due to this periodicity propriety the isovalues are similar for an initial or a
deformed domain. A natural conclusion is pointed out numerically: an increase of the temperature field until it reaches its maximum leads to an increase of the displacement field.

Another important aspect can be pointed here: we obtain a thermal equilibrium state which imply that the solution of the dynamical problem is stable in time. For the numerical study, the problem is reduced to the 2-dimensional case, using the micropolar theory of Eringen [5]. As the absolute temperature inside the plate is growing, the plate is deforming more and more until it reaches the thermal equilibrium state.
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