

*Dedicated to Professor Iulian Coroian on the occasion of his 70<sup>th</sup> anniversary*

## **$n$ -Groups derivable from groups**

VASILE POP

**ABSTRACT.** The trivial extensions of binary operation  $*$  to the  $n$ -ary operation  $\varphi$  has the form  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 * x_2 * \dots * x_n * a$ . If  $(G, *)$  is a group and  $a \in Z(G, *)$  the center of  $(G, *)$  then  $(G, \varphi)$  is an  $n$ -group so called  $n$ -group derived from  $(G, *)$ . It is known that there exist  $n$ -groups  $(G, \varphi)$  which cannot be obtained as a derived group. The goal of the paper is to characterize all the  $n$ -groups operations which are derivable from group operations.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [3] M. Hosszú shows that every  $n$ -group  $(G, \varphi)$  can be obtained as an extension of a group  $(G, *)$  using an automorphism  $\alpha$  and an element  $a \in G$ . The  $n$ -ary operation corresponding is:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 * \alpha(x_2) * \dots * \alpha^{n-1}(x_n) * a, \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$$

where  $\alpha^n(x) = a * x * a^{-1}$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $\alpha(a) = a$  and is denoted:

$$(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, *).$$

The trivial extensions of groups where the  $n$ -ary operation has the form:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_1 * x_2 * \dots * x_n * a, \quad x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$$

where  $a \in Z(G, *)$  the center of the group, are called  $n$ -groups derived from groups. This extensions are denoted

$$(G, \varphi) = Der_a(G, *).$$

In [2] W. A. Dudek and I. Michalski give an example of  $(n + 1)$ -group  $(G, \varphi)$  which cannot be obtained as a derived group (there exists no group  $(G, *)$  such that  $(G, \varphi) = Der_a(G, *)$ ). The example is the following:

**1.0.**  $G = \mathbb{Z}_{3^n - 1}$ ,  $\alpha : G \rightarrow G$ ,  $\alpha(x) = 3x$ ,  $x \in G$ ,  $a = \frac{1}{2}(3^n - 1)$  and  $(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, +)$ , that is:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 + 3x_2 + \dots + 3^n x_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2}(3^n - 1),$$

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in G$ .

The goal of the paper is to characterize all the  $(n + 1)$ -groups operations which are derivable from group operations.

We recall some notations and results which are used in the paper.

---

Received: 28.09.2008. In revised form: 20.02.2009. Accepted: 22.05.2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20N15.

Key words and phrases.  $n$ -Groups, extensions of groups, reduced Hosszú group.

**1.1.** If  $(G, \varphi)$  is a  $(n + 1)$ -group and  $u \in G$  an arbitrary element, then there exists an element  $\bar{u} \in G$  with the property:

$$\varphi(x, u, \bar{u}, \underset{k}{u}, \underset{n-1-k}{u}) = \varphi(u, \bar{u}, \underset{k}{u}, \underset{n-1-k}{u}, x) = x \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

The element  $\bar{u}$  is called the skew element of  $u$ .

**1.2.** If  $(G, \varphi)$  is a  $(n + 1)$ -group then for all  $u \in G$  the binary operation  $\circ : G \times G \rightarrow G$ , defined by:

$$x \circ y = \varphi(x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, y), \quad x, y \in G$$

determined on  $G$  a group structure  $(G, \circ) = Red_u(G, \varphi)$ , called reduced group in Hosszú sense. If we define

$$\alpha_u : G \rightarrow G \text{ by } \alpha_u(x) = \varphi(u, x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}), \quad x \in G \text{ and } a = \varphi(\underset{n+1}{u})$$

then  $\alpha_u \in AutG$  (is an automorphism),  $\alpha_u(a) = a$  and

$$(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha_u, a}(G, *).$$

**1.3.** If  $u, v \in G$  then between the reduced Hosszú groups  $(G, \circ) = Red_u(G, \varphi)$  and  $(G, *) = Red_v(G, \varphi)$  the following relations hold:

- $x * y = x \circ v' \circ y, \quad x, y \in G$
- $\alpha_v(x) = v \circ \alpha_u(x) \circ \alpha_u(v'), \quad x \in G$
- $v' = u * u$ , is the inverse of  $v$  in the group  $(G, \circ)$ .

**1.4.** If  $(G, \varphi)$  is a  $(n + 1)$ -group and  $H \subset G$  is a nonempty subset, then  $(H, \varphi)$  is a sub- $(n + 1)$ -group in  $(G, \varphi)$  iff

- a)  $\varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) \in H$ , for all  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1} \in H$
- b)  $\bar{u} \in H$  for all  $u \in H$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $(G, \varphi)$  be a  $(n + 1)$ -group. We define the set  $H = \{u \in G \mid \alpha_u = 1_G\}$ , where  $1_G : G \rightarrow G$  is the identity map of  $G$ .

We will show that if the set  $H$  is nonempty, then  $(H, \varphi)$  is a sub- $(n + 1)$ -group in  $(G, \varphi)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If  $u \in H$  and  $\bar{u}$  is the skew element of  $u$ , then  $\bar{u} \in H$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\alpha_u(x) = \varphi(u, x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}) = x, \quad x \in G$$

and for  $v = \bar{u}$

$$\alpha_{\bar{u}}(x) = \bar{u} \circ \alpha_u(x) \circ \alpha_u((\bar{u})') = \bar{u} \circ x \circ \alpha_u((\bar{u})') = \bar{u} \circ x \circ (\bar{u})'$$

It is enough to prove that  $\bar{u} \circ x = x \circ \bar{u}, x \in G$  or  $\varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, x) = \varphi(x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, \bar{u})$ .

But

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, x) &= \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-1}{u}, x), \bar{u}) = \\ &= \varphi(\bar{u}, \varphi(\underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, u), \underset{n-3}{u}, x, \bar{u}) = \varphi(\bar{u}, u, \underset{n-3}{u}, x, \bar{u}) = \\ &= \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, x, \bar{u}) = \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, \alpha_u(x), \bar{u}) = \varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, \varphi(u, x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}), \bar{u}) = \\ &= \varphi(\varphi(\bar{u}, \underset{n-2}{u}, u, x), \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}) = \varphi(x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $u \in H$  and  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G$  then:*

$$\varphi(u, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(x_1, u, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \dots = \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u).$$

**Proof.**  $\varphi(u, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(u, \varphi(x_1, u, \bar{u}, u), x_2, \dots, x_n) =$

$$= \varphi(\varphi(u, x_1, u, \bar{u}), u, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(\alpha_u(x_1), u, x_2, \dots, x_n) =$$

$$= \varphi(x_1, u, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(x_1, u, \varphi(x_2, u, \bar{u}, u), \dots, x_n) =$$

$$= \varphi(x_1, \varphi(u, x_2, u, \bar{u}), u, \dots, x_n) = \varphi(x_1, \alpha_u(x_2), u, \dots, x_n) =$$

$$= \varphi(x_1, x_2, u, \dots, x_n) = \dots = \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, u).$$

□

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1} \in H$  then*

$$\varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) \in H.$$

**Proof.** From Lemma 2.2 follows that

$$\varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}) = \varphi(u_{\sigma(1)}, u_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, u_{\sigma(n)}, u_{\sigma(n+1)})$$

for every  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$  (symmetric group).

If we denote  $z = \varphi(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1})$ , we have

$$\alpha_z(x) = \varphi(z, x, \bar{z}, \bar{z})$$

and from [4]

$$\bar{z} = \varphi^{n^2-n-1}(u_{n+1}, \bar{u}_{n+1}, u_n, \bar{u}_n, \dots, u_1, \bar{u}_1)$$

and consequently

$$\alpha_z(x) = \varphi^{n^2}(x, \underbrace{u_1, \bar{u}_1}_{n-1}, \dots, \underbrace{u_{n+1}, \bar{u}_{n+1}}_{n-1}) = x, \quad x \in G.$$

□

From Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 we conclude the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $(G, \varphi)$  is a  $(n+1)$ -group and the set*

$$H = \{u \in G \mid \alpha_u = 1_G\}$$

*is nonempty, then  $(H, \varphi)$  is a sub- $(n+1)$ -group in  $(G, \varphi)$ .*

**Definition 2.1.** If  $(G, \varphi)$  is a  $(n+1)$ -group, then the set

$$H = \{u \in G \mid \varphi(u, x, u, \bar{u}) = x, x \in G\}$$

is called the  $(n+1)$ -center of  $(G, \varphi)$  and it is denoted by  $H = Z_{n+1}(G, \varphi)$ .

**Remark 2.1.** From Theorem 2.1 it follows that if  $H \neq \emptyset$  then  $(Z_{n+1}(G, \varphi), \varphi)$  is a sub- $(n+1)$ -group in  $(G, \varphi)$ .

We will establish a relation between the  $(n+1)$ -center of a  $(n+1)$ -group and the centers of the reduced Hosszú groups.

Let  $u \in H$  and  $(G, \circ) = Red_u(G, \varphi)$  the reduced Hosszú group through  $u$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $Z_u = Z(G, \circ)$  is the center of the group  $(G, \circ) = Red_u(G, \varphi)$ , then  $Z_u = H$ , for every  $u \in H$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v \in H$ , then

$$\alpha_v(x) = v \circ \alpha_u(x) \circ (\alpha_u(v))' = v \circ x \circ v'$$

So the equality  $\alpha_v(x) = x$ ,  $x \in G$  is equivalent with

$$v \circ x = x \circ v, \quad x \in G,$$

thus  $v \in Z_u$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** • If  $H \neq \emptyset$  (there exists  $u \in G$  such that  $\varphi(u, x, \underset{n-2}{u}, \bar{u}) = x$ ,  $x \in G$ ), then all the centers of the reduced Hosszú groups through elements of  $H$  coincide (are equal to  $H$ ).

• Let us denote  $(G, *) = Red_g(G, \varphi)$ . If  $g \in G \setminus H$  then  $Z_g = Z(G, *) \neq H$  ( $g \in Z(G, *)$  but  $g \notin H$ ).

Next we give a theorem of characterization of  $(n+1)$ -groups derivable from groups.

Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be group and denote by  $Int(G, \cdot)$  the set of inner automorphisms

$$Int(G, \cdot) = \{i_g : G \rightarrow G \mid i_g(x) = g \cdot x \cdot g^{-1}, x \in G\}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, \cdot)$  is an  $(n+1)$ -group, then the following statements are equivalent:*

a)  $\alpha$  is an inner automorphism of  $(G, \cdot)$ .

b) The  $(n+1)$ -group  $(G, \varphi)$  is a derived group.

c) For all  $u \in G$ , the reduced automorphism  $\alpha_u$  is an inner automorphism.

*Proof.* a)  $\Rightarrow$  b) If 1 is the unit element of  $(G, \cdot)$  then the reduced automorphism  $\alpha_1$  is  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ , which is an inner automorphism, so

$$\alpha_1(x) = b \cdot x \cdot b^{-1}, \quad x \in G.$$

We have:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 \cdot \alpha(x_2) \cdot \alpha^2(x_3) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha^{n-1}(x_n) \cdot \alpha^n(x_{n+1}) \cdot a$$

and

$$\alpha^n(x) = a \cdot x \cdot a^{-1}.$$

It follows

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 \cdot b \cdot x_2 \cdot b \cdot x_3 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n \cdot b \cdot x_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad a = b^n.$$

For  $v = b^{-1}$ , the reduced automorphism is

$$\alpha_{b^{-1}}(x) = b^{-1} \cdot \alpha_1(x) \cdot \alpha_1(b) = b^{-1} \cdot b \cdot x \cdot b^{-1} \cdot b = x, \quad x \in G,$$

thus  $\alpha_{b^{-1}} = 1_G$ , then  $(G, \varphi) = Der_1(G, *)$ , where  $x * y = x \cdot b \cdot y$ ,  $x, y \in G$ .

b)  $\Rightarrow$  c) If  $(G, \varphi) = Der_a(G, \cdot)$  then  $(G, \varphi) = Ext_{1_G, a}(G, \cdot)$ ,  $Red_1(G, \varphi) = (G, \cdot)$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 1_G$  and let  $(G, \circ) = Red_u(G, \varphi)$  with  $u \in G$ .

According to the formula 1.3 we have

$$\alpha_u(x) = u \cdot \alpha_1(x) \cdot \alpha_1(u^{-1}) = u \cdot x \cdot u^{-1}, \quad x \in G$$

and therefore  $\alpha_u \in Int(G, \varphi)$ .

c)  $\Rightarrow$  a) In particular for  $u = 1$  we have  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  and therefore  $\alpha \in Int(G, \cdot)$ . □

**Remark 2.3.** • The only  $(n + 1)$ -ary operations of  $(n + 1)$ -group which are derivable from a group  $(G, \cdot)$  have the form:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 \cdot b \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot b \cdot x_n \cdot b \cdot x_{n+1},$$

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in G$ , where  $b \in G$  such that  $b^n = a \in Z(G, \cdot)$ .

- For the example 1.0 given by Dudek and Michalski in [2] we obtain that the only  $(n + 1)$ -groups derivable from the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_k, +)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$  have the  $(n + 1)$ -ary operation of the form:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n + x_{n+1} + a,$$

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ , with arbitrary  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_k$ . The operation of example 1.0 has not this form, thus this  $(n + 1)$ -group is not a derived  $(n + 1)$ -group.

Supposing that the groups  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $(G, *)$  are fixed and we consider the  $(n + 1)$ -group  $(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, \cdot)$  we will determine the conditions under which  $(G, \varphi)$  is derived from  $(G, *)$ , that is

$$(G, \varphi) = Der_c(G, *), \quad c \in Z(G, *).$$

For this we recall a result of [5].

**Theorem 2.4.** [5] *If  $(G, \varphi)$  and  $(H, \psi)$  are  $(n + 1)$ -groups then the function  $f : G \rightarrow H$  is a  $(n + 1)$ -group morphism if and only if for any  $u \in G$  we have:*

- a)  $f$  is a morphism of their reduces groups

$$(G, \cdot) = Red_u(G, \varphi) \quad \text{and} \quad (G, \circ) = Red_{f(u)}(H, \psi).$$

- b)  $f(a_u) = b_{f(u)}$ , where  $a_u = \varphi(\underbrace{u}_{n+1})$  and  $b_{f(u)} = \psi(f(u))$ .

- c)  $f \circ \alpha_u = \beta_{f(u)} \circ f$ , where  $\alpha_u(x) = \varphi(u, x, \underbrace{u}_{n-2}, \bar{u})$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $\beta_{f(u)}(y) = \psi(f(u), y, f(u), \overline{f(u)})$ ,  $y \in H$ ,  $\bar{u}$  is the skew element of  $u$  in  $(G, \varphi)$  and  $\overline{f(u)}$  is the skew element of  $f(u)$  in  $(H, \psi)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *The  $(n + 1)$ -group  $(G, \varphi) = Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, \cdot)$  is derived from the group  $(G, *)$ ,  $(G, \varphi) = Der_c(G, *)$  if and only if:*

- a)  $x \cdot y = x * 1' * y$ , for all  $x, y \in G$ .

$$b) a = \underbrace{1 * 1 * \dots * 1}_{n+1} * c$$

- c)  $\alpha(x) = 1 * x * 1'$ , for all  $x \in G$

where  $1$  is the unit element of  $(G, \cdot)$  and  $1'$  is the inverse of  $1$  in  $(G, *)$ .

*Proof.* The equality  $Ext_{\alpha, a}(G, \cdot) = Der_c(G, *)$  is equivalent with the condition that  $f = 1_G : G \rightarrow G$  is a morphism (isomorphism) of  $(n + 1)$ -groups.

We have  $(G, \cdot) = Red_1(G, \varphi)$  and  $(G, *) = Red_e(G, \varphi)$ , where  $e$  is the unit element in  $(G, *)$ .

The conditions a), b), c) from Theorem 2.4 [5], for  $u = 1$  become

- a)  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y) = x \cdot y = x * 1' * y$ ,  $x, y \in G$  (see 1.3)

- b)  $f(1 \cdot \alpha(1) \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha^n(1) \cdot a) = f(1) * f(1) * \dots * f(1) * c$  or  $a = 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 * c$

- c)  $f \circ \alpha_1 = \beta_1 \circ f$  or  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$ .

But  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$  and  $\beta_1(x) = 1 * x * \underbrace{1 * \dots * 1}_{n-2} * \bar{1} * c$ , and from  $\varphi(\underbrace{1}_n, \bar{1}) = 1$  follows that

$1 * \dots * 1 * \bar{1} * c = 1'$ , thus  $\alpha(x) = 1 * x * 1'$ ,  $x \in G$ . □

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $(G, \varphi) = Der_a(G, \cdot)$  and  $(G, \psi) = Der_c(G, *)$  then  $\varphi = \psi$  if and only if:*

a)  $x \cdot y = x * 1' * y, x, y \in G$

b)  $a = \underbrace{1 * \cdots * 1}_{n+1} * c$

c)  $1 \in Z(G, *)$ .

**Proof.** For  $\alpha = 1_G$  in the Theorem 2.5 the condition c) becomes  $x = 1 * x * 1'$  or  $x * 1 = 1 * x, x \in G$ , thus  $1 \in Z(G, *)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Corovei, I. and Purdea, I., *The reduction of an  $(n + 1)$ -group and its extentions to the same group*, Sem. of Algebra, Preprint No. 5, 1988, Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca, 63-68
- [2] Dudek, W.A. and Michalski, I., *On a generalization of Hosszú Theorem*, Dem. Math. 1982, 783-805
- [3] Hosszú, M., *On explicit form of  $n$ -group operations*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 10, 1963, 88-92
- [4] Pop, V., *Relations between the Hosszú type reduces groups of an  $n$ -group*, ACAM, Vol. 10, No. 1-2/2001, 40-43
- [5] Pop, V., *Representation of morphisms of  $n$ -groups by morphisms of Hosszú type reduces groups*, BAM 2004-C/2002, 223-232

UNIVERSITATEA TEHNICĂ CLUJ-NAPOCA

STR. C. DAICOVICIU 15

400020 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

*E-mail address:* vasile.pop@math.utcluj.ro