CREAT. MATH. INFORM. Volume **27** (2018), No. 1, Pages 37 - 48 Online version at https://creative-mathematics.cunbm.utcluj.ro/ Print Edition: ISSN 1584 - 286X; Online Edition: ISSN 1843 - 441X DOI: https://doi.org/10.37193/CMI.2018.01.06

Fixed point theorems for nonself Bianchini type contractions in Banach spaces endowed with a graph

ANDREI HORVAT-MARC and LASZLO BALOG

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present an extension of fixed point theorem for self mappings on metric spaces endowed with a graph and which satisfies a Bianchini contraction condition. We establish conditions which ensure the existence of fixed point for a non-self Bianchini contractions $T : K \subset X \to X$ that satisfy Rothe's boundary condition $T(\partial K) \subset K$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Starting with well-known Banach contraction principle (see its complete from in [19]), many directions have approached to study the existence of fixed points of a map T. We remember that, for a map $T : X \to X$ the set of fixed point is

$$Fix\left(T\right) = \left\{x \in X; \, Tx = x\right\},\,$$

where *X* is a nonempty set. Roughly speaking, the existence conditions of fixed points are a set of rules which reflect the relations between the distances from one element to another of the set $\{x, y, Tx, Ty\}$ and some properties of the map *T* in the space *X*. In general, (X, d) is a complete metric space, $T : X \to X$ is a self-mapping which has some specific properties. For example:

a) classical Banach contraction condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq a \cdot d(x, y)$$
 for all $x, y \in X$;

b) ([45]) Kannan contraction condition

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq b[d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)]$$
 for all $x, y \in X$;

c) ([24]) Bianchini contraction condition

$$d(Tx,Ty) \leq a \cdot \max \{d(x,Tx), d(y,Ty)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

d) Rus-Reich contraction condition

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le \alpha \cdot d(x,Tx) + \beta \cdot d(y,Ty) + \gamma d(x,y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

and so on, where $a \in [0, 1)$, $b \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, respectively $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$.

In all these existence results, T is a self-mapping. More details can be found in literature, see [19], [32], [73] and reference therein.

The study of non-self mappings started with the paper of J. Caristi, see [30] for details. The assumption that $T : K \to X$ is non-self, i.e., T maps a subset K of X not into itself and there is at least one $x \in K$ such that $Tx \in X \setminus K$, implies some supplementary conditions which must hold on the boundary of subset K. A list of some type of these conditions can be found in [42]. In this paper we choose the Rothe's boundary condition $T(\partial K) \subset K$. There are a few other results related to the existence of fixed points for

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 47H08, 47H09.

Received: 09.03.2018. In revised form: 11.03.2018. Accepted: 18.03.2018

Key words and phrases. Fixed point theorem, boundary condition, Bianchini contraction.

Corresponding author: A. Horvat-Marc; hmandrei@cunbm.utcluj.ro

non-self maps, remind here two fixed point theorems for non self contractions defined on Banach spaces endowed with a graph established by M. Păcurar in [21], while very recently in [15] was extend these results to non-self Kannan type contractions on Banach spaces endowed with a graph. The study of set of fixed points of mappings defined on Banach space endowed with a graph was initiated by J. Jachymski in [44] and continued by work of F. Bojor [25, 26, 27, 28, 29] and others [1], [33] etc.

The present work is organized in two sections. In the first one we remind a few preliminary notions and results, basically taken from [20], regarding the fixed point results for mappings defined on metric spaces endowed with a graph. In the second section there is an existence result of fixed point for an non-self mapping which satisfies a Bianchini contraction condition and is defined on metric spaces endowed with a graph.

2. METRIC SPACES ENDOWED WITH A GRAPH

Let (X, d) be a metric space and let Δ denote the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. Consider now a directed simple graph G = (V(G), E(G)) such that the set of its vertices, V(G), coincides with X and E(G), the set of its edges, contains all loops, i.e., $\Delta \subset E(G).$

By G^{-1} we denote the *converse graph* of G, i.e., the graph obtained by G by reversing its edges, i.e.,

$$E(G^{-1}) = \{(y, x) \in X \times X : (x, y) \in E(G)\}.$$

If $x, y \in V(G)$ are vertices in the graph G, then a *path* from x to y of length $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N$ of N+1 vertices of G such that

$$x_0 = x, x_N = y$$
 and $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \in E(G), i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$

A graph G is said to be *connected* if there is at least a path between any two vertices. If $\tilde{G} = (X, E(\tilde{G}))$ is the symmetric graph obtained by putting together the vertices of both G and G^{-1} , i.e.,

$$E(\tilde{G}) = E(G) \cup E(G^{-1}),$$

then G is called *weakly connected* if \tilde{G} is connected. If G = (V(G), E(G)) is a graph and $H \subset V(G)$, then the graph (H, E(H)) with $E(H) = E(G) \cap (H \times H)$ is called the *subgraph* of G determined by H. Denote it by G_H .

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d, G) be a Banach space endowed with a simple directed and weakly connected graph G. We say that the property (L) holds if

for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ with $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying $(x_{k_n}, x) \in E(G)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A mapping $T: X \to X$ is said to be (well) defined on a metric space endowed with a graph *G* if it has the property

$$\forall x, y \in X, (x, y) \in E(G) \text{ implies } (Tx, Ty) \in E(G).$$
(2.1)

(L)

For a non self mapping $T: K \to X$ we shall say that it is (well) defined on the Banach space X endowed with the graph G if it has this property for the subgraph of G induced by K, that is,

$$(x, y) \in E(G)$$
 with $Tx, Ty \in K$ implies $(Tx, Ty) \in E(G) \cap (K \times K)$, (2.2)

for all $x, y \in K$.

According to [44], a mapping $T : X \to X$, which is well defined on a metric space endowed with a graph *G*, is called a *G*-contraction if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$ with $(x, y) \in E(G)$ we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \alpha \cdot d(x, y). \tag{2.3}$$

Let *X* be a Banach space, *K* a nonempty closed subset of *X* and $T : K \to X$ a non-self mapping. If $x \in K$ is such that $Tx \notin K$, then we can always choose an $y \in \partial K$ (the boundary of *K*) such that $y = (1 - \lambda)x + \lambda Tx$ ($0 < \lambda < 1$), which actually expresses the fact that

$$d(x,Tx) = d(x,y) + d(y,Tx), y \in \partial K = Fr(K), \qquad (2.4)$$



FIGURE 1

where we use the notation

$$d(x, y) = ||x - y||.$$

In general, the set Y of points y satisfying condition (2.4) from above may contain more than one element. We suppose Y is always nonempty.

In this context we shall need the following important concept first introduced and used in [20].

Definition 2.2. ([20]) Let *X* be a Banach space, *K* a nonempty closed subset of *X* and $T: K \to X$ a non-self mapping. We say that *T* has property (*M*) if

for any elements
$$x \in K$$
 with $Tx \notin K$ the inequality
 $d(y, Ty) \leq d(x, Tx)$ (*M*)
holds for at least one corresponding $y \in Y \subset \partial K$ given by (2.4).

Examples of non-self mapping T which has property (M) can be found in work of V. Berinde and M. Păcurar (see [20], [21]) or in the next example.

Example 2.1. Let $K = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ be a subset of $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, where X is endowed with the Chebyshev metric, i.e., $d_{\infty}(x,y) = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\}$, for all $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ in X. Consider the map $T : K \to X$ given by $Tx = T(x_1, x_2) = (-x_1, x_2)$ for all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in K$. Remark that Tx = x for any $x \in \{(0, b) : b \in [0, 1]\} := K_0, K_0 \subset \partial K$ and $Tx \notin K$ for all $x \in K \setminus K_0$. Since

$$d_{\infty}(x,Tx) = 2x_1$$
 for all $x = (x_1,x_2) \in K$

and

 $d_{\infty}(y,Ty) = 0$ for all $y \in K_0$,

we have

$$d_{\infty}\left(y,Ty\right) < d_{\infty}\left(x,Tx\right)$$

for all $x \in K \setminus K_0$ with $y \in K_0 = Y$. So, *T* has property (*M*) and *Y* is not singleton.

In the next example we can fond another non-self mapping which satisfies some contraction condition and the Rothe's boundary condition, which has the (M) properties and iterations sequence converges to fixed point of it.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ endowed with the metric

 $d(x,y) = \max\{|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|\} \text{ for all } x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in X$ and $K = [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$, i.e., $K = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \le 1\} \subset X.$

 $\prod_{w \in [w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} \prod_{w \in [w_1], [w_2]} \prod_{v \in [w_1]} \prod_{v \in [w_1], [w_2]} \prod_{v \in [w_1]} \prod_{v \in [w_1], [w_2]} \prod_{v \in [w_1]$

Let $K_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in K: 0 > x_2 \ge x_1\}$ $K_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in K: 0 > x_1 > x_2\}$ and

$$K_3 = K \setminus (K_1 \cup K_2).$$

Let $T: K \to X$ given by

FIGURE 2. The set *K* and the three subsets K_1 (the gray one), K_2 (the dark one) and K_3 with $K = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_3$.

$$Tx = T(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} (2x_1 + 2, 2x_2 + 1), & (x_1, x_2) \in K_1 \\ (2x_1 + 1, 2x_2 + 2), & (x_1, x_2) \in K_2 \\ (\alpha x_2, \alpha x_1), & (x_1, x_2) \in K_3 \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

where $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1)$.



FIGURE 3. The set K and its image by the map T.

Note here some properties of T defined by (2.5).

- **I.** The map *T* has a unique fix point, $Fix(T) = \{(0,0)\}$.
- **II.** The Rothe's boundary condition $T(\partial K) \subset K$ holds, where

$$\partial K = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} = 1\}.$$

III. *T* is a non-self map, i.e., there are $x \in K$ such that $Tx \notin K$. Practically, for every $x = (x_1, x_2) \in K_1$ with $x_1 \in (-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ we have $Tx = (2x_1 + 2, 2x_2 + 1)$ with $2x_1 + 2 > 1$, so $Tx \notin K$.



FIGURE 4. The subsets K_1 , K_2 , K_3 of K and their image by the map T.

IV. *T* has property (*M*). Indeed, if $x = (x_1, \frac{1}{2}x_1) \in K_1$ with $x_1 \in (-\frac{1}{3}, 0)$, then for any $y = (1, \varepsilon) \in \partial K$ with $\varepsilon \in (-x_1, 1)$ the equality

$$d(x, y) + d(y, Tx) = d(x, Tx)$$
(2.6)

holds. Much more, if $x = (x_1, \frac{1}{2}x_1)$ with $x_1 \in (-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3})$, then for any $y = (1, \varepsilon) \in \partial K$ with $\varepsilon \in (-x_1, 2 + 3x_1)$ we have $d(x, y) = 1 - x_1$, $d(x, Tx) = 2 + x_1$ and $d(y, Tx) = 1 + 2x_1$ for $y = (1, \varepsilon) \in \partial K$. So, the equality (2.6) holds. Hence, *T* has property (*M*) and for some *x* the corresponding set *Y* is not singleton.

A similar result holds for some specific $x \in K_2$.

V. *T* satisfies a contraction condition.

A) For $x = (x_1, x_2) \in K_1$ and $y = (y_1, y_2) \in K_1$ we have $d(Tx, Ty) = 2 \cdot d(x, y)$, $d(y, Ty) = y_1 + 2$ and

$$d(x,Tx) = \max\{|x_1+2|, |x_2+1|\} = \frac{|x_1+2| + |x_2+1| + |x_1+2-x_2-1|}{2} = x_1+2.$$

B) For $x = (x_1, x_2) \in K_2$ and $y = (y_1, y_2) \in K_2$ we have $d(Tx, Ty) = 2 \cdot d(x, y)$, $d(y, Ty) = y_2 + 2$ and

$$d(x,Tx) = \max\{|x_1+1|, |x_2+2|\} = \frac{|x_1+1| + |x_2+2| + |x_1+1-x_1-2|}{2} = x_2+2.$$

VI. Picard iterations of *T*.

On K_3 the map T is a classical contraction and, as we can see in first representation from Figure 5, the sequence of Picard iteration converges.

If the initial point of the Picard iterations is situated in $K_1, x_0 \in K_1$, then there are two possible situations: first one has $Tx_0 \in K_3$ and the sequence of Picard iterations converges and second one has $Tx_0 \notin K$, but we can choose $x_1 \in \partial K \cap K_3$, so Picard iterations converges, too. Such situation is depicted on the left image from Figure 5.

A similar situation can be obtain if we choose the initial point from K_2 , see the right image from Figure 5.

3. FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR NONSELF BIANCHINI TYPE CONTRACTIONS IN BANACH SPACES ENDOWED WITH A GRAPH

In that follow, we establish some conditions which ensure that a nonself Bianchini type contraction has a fixed point in (X, d, G), a Banach space endowed with a simple directed and weakly connected graph.

Let $K \subset X$ a nonempty closed subset of X. We say that $T : K \to X$ is a *Bianchini contraction* if there exists a constant $a \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le a \cdot \max\left\{d\left(x, Tx\right), d\left(y, Ty\right)\right\}, \text{ for all } (x, y) \in E(G_K),$$
(3.7)



FIGURE 5. Example of Picard iterations.

where G_K is the subgraph of G determined by K.

If *T* maps *K* into *K*, i.e., *T* is self-mapping, then there are hypotheses which implie that $Fix(T) \neq \emptyset$. Such rezults are Bianchini fixed point theorems [24] with X = K, some theorems establised by F. Bojor [26] and other authors.

The next theorem establishes a fixed point theorem for non-self Bianchini contractions defined on a Banach space endowed with a graph.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d, G) be a Banach space endowed with a simple directed and weakly connected graph G such that the property (L) holds. Let K be a nonempty closed subset of X and let $T : K \to X$ be a Bianchini contraction. If $K_T := \{x \in \partial K : (x, Tx) \in E(G)\} \neq \emptyset$, T has property (M) and T satisfies the Rothe's boundary condition $T(\partial K) \subset K$, then

(i) $Fix(T) = \{x^*\};$

(ii) Picard iteration $\{x_n = T^n x_0\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to x^* , for all $x_0 \in K_T$, and the following estimate holds

$$d(x^*, x_n) \le \frac{a^{n-1}}{a-1} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\right\}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(3.8)

Proof. If $T(K) \subset K$, then T is self-mapping and the prove is given by Bianchini fixed point theorem, see [24]. Therefore, we consider only the case $T(K) \cap (X \setminus K) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there is at least one $x \in K$ such that $Tx \in X \setminus K$. The proof has two parts: first we construct the Picard iteration and establish some properties of this sequence and after that we prove that Picard iteration is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $x_0 \in K_T$. Since $(x_0, Tx_0) \in E(G)$ and T is well defined on a metric space endowed with the graph G, then we have $(T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following, we construct the Picard iteration $\Xi := \{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$. First, we denote $x_1 = y_1 = Tx_0$ and for $n \geq 2$ we proceed in the following way: if $Tx_{n-1} \in K$ then $x_n = y_n = Tx_{n-1}$, else $Tx_{n-1} \notin K$ and we can choose a $\lambda_n \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$x_n = (1 - \lambda_n) x_{n-1} + \lambda_n T x_{n-1} \in \partial K.$$

Now, we can consider two disjoint subsets of the Picard iteration Ξ . One set is

$$P = \{x_k \in \Xi; x_k = y_k = Tx_{k-1}, k \in N_P \subset \mathbb{N}\} \subset K$$

and other one is

$$Q = \{ x_k \in \Xi; \, x_k \neq T x_{k-1}, k \in N_Q \subset \mathbb{N} \} \subset \partial K.$$

By virtue of Rothe's boundary condition, in Q there is no two consecutive terms of Ξ , but in P we can have consecutive terms of Ξ . So, for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can have the following three hypothetical cases: (1) $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$; (2) $x_n \in P, x_{n+1} \in Q$ and (3) $x_n \in Q, x_{n+1} \in P$.



FIGURE 6. The three hypothetical cases: (1) $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$; (2) $x_n \in P$, $x_{n+1} \in Q$; (3) $x_n \in Q$, $x_{n+1} \in P$.

Next, we study the influence of Bianchini condition (3.7) upon the distance between the terms of Ξ in all three cases from above.

Case 1. Assume that $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$ and

$$\max \left\{ d(x_n, Tx_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \right\} = d(x_n, Tx_n).$$

Since $d(x_n, Tx_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$, by (3.7) we have

 $d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \leq a \cdot d\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right)$

which implies the inequality

m

 $(1-a) \cdot d\left(x_n, x_{n+1}\right) \le 0$

and this cannot be hold. So, this situation can not occurs.

Assume that $x_n, x_{n+1} \in P$ and

$$\max \left\{ d\left(x_{n}, Tx_{n}\right), d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right) \right\} = d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right) = d\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$$

In this case, $d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ and (3.7) implies

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot d(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$
(3.9)

Case 2. Assume that $x_n \in P$ and $x_{n+1} \in Q$. Hence, there is $\lambda_{n+1} \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \lambda_{n+1}) x_n + \lambda_{n+1} T x_n \in \partial K,$$

which actually express the fact the

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) = d(x_n, Tx_n).$$

Hence, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, Tx_n) - d(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) \le d(x_n, Tx_n) = d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

Now, by (3.7) we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n) \right\}$$

$$\le a \cdot \max \left\{ d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n) \right\}.$$
(3.10)

If we consider that $\max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)\} = d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$, then (3.10) is equivalent to (3.9). On the other hand, if $\max \{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)\} = d(x_n, Tx_n)$ then (3.10) implies

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot d(x_n, Tx_n) = a \cdot d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)$$

$$\le a^2 \cdot \max \{ d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n) \}$$

$$= a^2 \cdot d(x_n, Tx_n).$$

Since $a \in (0,1)$, the last inequality implies $a \cdot d(x_n, Tx_n) < a^2 \cdot d(x_n, Tx_n)$ which is equivalent to

$$a\left(1-a\right)\cdot d\left(x_n,Tx_n\right)<0$$

and this cannot be hold in our hypotheses.

Case 3. Assume that $x_n \in Q$ and $x_{n+1} \in P$, i.e., $x_n \neq Tx_{n-1} = y_n$, $x_n \in \partial K$ and $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$. In this case, $0 < d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = d(x_n, Tx_n)$ and the property (*M*) implies

$$d(x_n, Tx_n) \le d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) = d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}).$$
(3.11)

Hence, by (3.7) we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le a \cdot \max\left\{d(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})\right\}.$$
(3.12)

Now, if we assume that

$$\max\left\{d\left(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}\right), d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right)\right\} = d\left(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}\right) = d\left(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}\right),$$

then $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}).$

Else, if we consider that

$$\max\left\{d\left(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}\right), d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right)\right\} = d\left(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}\right) = d\left(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}\right),$$

then

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})$$

$$\le a^2 \cdot \max \{ d(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) \}$$

$$= a^2 \cdot d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}) = a^2 \cdot d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1}).$$

This implies $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq a^k \cdot d(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and this cannot occurs.

At the end of the first part of the proof, we can say that for the elements from the iterative sequence $\Xi = \{x_n\}_{n>0}$ the following inequality holds

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a \cdot \max\left\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})\right\},\tag{3.13}$$

for all $n \ge 2$. Now, using consecutively these inequalities (3.13), we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le a^{n-1} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\right\}, \ n \ge 2.$$
(3.14)

In the second part of this proof, we show that Ξ is Cauchy sequence and T has at least one fixed point. For any $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \le \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d(x_{n+k}, x_{n+k+1}).$$

Now, by (3.14) we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} a^{n+k-1} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\right\}$$

$$= \frac{a^{n-1} (1-a^p)}{1-a} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\right\}$$

$$< \frac{a^{n-1}}{1-a} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_1, x_2)\right\},$$
(3.15)

for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and this shows that $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ is Cauchy sequence in closed set K. So, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ converges to some point x^* in K. By triangle inequality we have

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \le d(x^*, y) + d(y, Tx^*), y \in K.$$
(3.16)

Property (*L*) implies there is a subsequence $\{x_{k_n}\}_{n>1}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n>1}$ satisfying

$$(x_{k_n}, x^*) \in E(G)$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence, if we choose $y = x_{k_n+1} = Tx_{k_n}$, then (3.16) implies

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \le d(x^*, x_{k_n+1}) + d(x_{k_n+1}, Tx^*).$$
(3.17)

By Bianchini's type contraction condition (3.7) we have

$$d(x_{k_n+1}, Tx^*) \le a \cdot \max\left\{d(x_{k_n}, Tx_{k_n}), d(x^*, Tx^*)\right\}.$$
(3.18)

So, the inequalities (3.17) and (3.18) imply

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \le d(x^*, x_{k_n+1}) + a \cdot \max\left\{d(x_{k_n}, Tx_{k_n}), d(x^*, Tx^*)\right\}.$$

Therefore, we can estimate the distance between x^* and Tx^* by

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \le \frac{1}{1-a} \cdot d(x^*, x_{k_n+1}) + \frac{a}{1-a} \cdot d(x_{k_n}, Tx_{k_n}) \text{ for all } n \ge 1.$$

and by (3.14) we obtain

$$d(x^*, Tx^*) \le \frac{1}{1-a} \cdot d(x^*, x_{k_n+1}) + \frac{a^n}{1-a} \cdot \max\left\{d(x_{k_0}, x_{k_1}), d(x_{k_1}, x_{k_2})\right\}.$$
(3.19)

Letting now $n \to \infty$ in (3.19), results $d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$, which shows that x^* is a fixed point of *T*.

Letting now $p \to \infty$ in (3.15), results the error estimate given by (3.8).

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M., Ali, B., Petruşel, G., Fixed points of set-valued contractions in partial metric spaces endowed with a graph, Carpathian J. Math., 30 (2014), No. 2, 129–137
- [2] Agarwal, R. P., El-Gebeily, M. A. and O'Regan, D., Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Anal., 87 (2008), 1–8
- [3] Alghamdi, Maryam A., Berinde, V. and Shahzad, N., Fixed points of multi-valued non-self almost contractions, J. Appl. Math., Volume 2013, Article ID 621614, 6 pages
- [4] Alghamdi, Maryam A., Berinde, V. and Shahzad, N., Fixed points of non-self almost contractions, Carpathian J. Math., 33 (2014), No. 1, 1–8
- [5] Ariza-Ruiz, D., Jiménez-Melado, A., A continuation method for weakly Kannan maps, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2010, Art. ID 321594, 12 pp.
- [6] Assad, N. A. On a fixed point theorem of Iséki, Tamkang J. Math., 7 (1976), No. 1, 19-22
- [7] Assad, N. A. On a fixed point theorem of Kannan in Banach spaces, Tamkang J. Math., 7 (1976), No. 1, 91–94
- [8] Assad, N. A., On some nonself nonlinear contractions, Math. Japon., 33 (1988), No. 1, 17-26
- [9] Assad, N. A., On some nonself mappings in Banach spaces, Math. Japon., 33 (1988), No. 4, 501–515
- [10] Assad, N. A., Approximation for fixed points of multivalued contractive mappings, Math. Nachr., 139 (1988), 207–213

A. Horvat-Marc and L. Balog

- [11] Assad, N. A., A fixed point theorem in Banach space, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), 47 (61) (1990), 137-140
- [12] Assad, N. A., A fixed point theorem for some non-self-mappings, Tamkang J. Math., 21 (1990), No. 4, 387–393
- [13] Assad, N. A. and Kirk, W. A., Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, Pacific J. Math., 43 (1972), 553–562
- [14] Assad, N. A. and Sessa, S., Common fixed points for nonself compatible maps on compacta, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 16 (1992), No.2, 91–95
- [15] Balog, L. and Berinde, V., Fixed point theorems for nonself Kannan type contractions in Banach spaces endowed with a graph, Carpathian J. Math., 32 (2016), No. 3, 293–302
- [16] Balog, L., Berinde, V. and Păcurar, M., Approximating Fixed Points of Nonself Contractive Type Mappings in Banach Spaces Endowed with a Graph, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanța, 24 (2016), No. 2, 27–43
- [17] Berinde, V., A common fixed point theorem for nonself mappings, Miskolc Math. Notes, 5 (2004), No. 2, 137-144
- [18] Berinde, V., Approximation of fixed points of some nonself generalized φ-contractions, Math. Balkanica (N.S.), 18 (2004), No. 1-2, 85–93
- [19] Berinde, V., Iterative Approximation of Fixed Points, 2nd Ed., Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2007
- [20] Berinde, V. and Păcurar, M., Fixed point theorems for nonself single-valued almost contractions, Fixed Point Theory, 14 (2013), No. 2, 301–312
- [21] Berinde, V. and Păcurar, M., The contraction principle for nonself mappings on Banach spaces endowed with a graph, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., 16 (2015), No. 9, 1925–1936
- [22] Berinde, V. and Păcurar, M., A constructive approach to coupled fixed point theorems in metric spaces, Carpathian J. Math., 31 (2015), No. 3, 277–287
- [23] Berinde, V. and Petric, M. A., Fixed point theorems for cyclic non-self single-valued almost contractions, Carpathian J. Math., 31 (2015), No. 3, 289–296
- [24] Bianchini, R. M. T., Su un problema di S. Reich riguardante la teoria dei punti fissi, Bolletino U.M.I., 4 (1972), No. 5, 103–106
- [25] Bojor, F., Fixed point of φ-contraction in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Ann. Univ. Craiova, Math. Comput. Sci. Ser., 37 (2010), No. 4, 85–92
- [26] Bojor, F., Fixed points of Bianchini mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Carpathian J. Math., 28 (2012), No. 2, 207–214
- [27] Bojor, F., Fixed points of Kannan mappings in metric spaces endowed with a graph, An. Ştiint. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanta, Ser. Mat., 20 (2012), No. 1, 31–40
- [28] Bojor, F., Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric spaces with a graph, Nonlinear Anal., 75 (2012), No. 9, 3895–3901
- [29] Bojor, F., Fixed point theorems in in metric spaces endowed with a graph (in Romanian), Ph.D Thesis, North University of Baia Mare, 2012
- [30] Caristi, J., Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 215 (1976), 241–251
- [31] Caristi, J., Fixed point theory and inwardness conditions. Applied nonlinear analysis (Proc. Third Internat. Conf., Univ. Texas, Arlington, Tex., 1978), pp. 479–483, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979
- [32] Chatterjea, S. K., Fixed-point theorems, C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., 25 (1972) 727-730
- [33] Chifu, C. and Petruşel, G., Generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012, 2012:161, 9 pp.
- [34] Cho, S.-H., A fixed point theorem for a Cirić-Berinde type mapping in orbitally complete metric spaces, Carpathian J. Math., 30 (2014), No. 1, 63–70
- [35] Choudhury, B. S., Das, K. and Bhandari, S. K., Cyclic contraction of Kannan type mappings in generalized Menger space using a control function, Azerb. J. Math., 2 (2012), No. 2, 43–55
- [36] Ćirić, Lj. B., A remark on Rhoades' fixed point theorem for non-self mappings, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 16 (1993), No. 2, 397–400
- [37] Ćirić, Lj. B., Quasi contraction non-self mappings on Banach spaces, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math., (1998), No. 23, 25–31
- [38] Ćirić, Lj. B., Ume, J. S., Khan, M. S. and Pathak, H. K., On some nonself mappings, Math. Nachr., 251 (2003), 28–33
- [39] Eisenfeld, J. and Lakshmikantham, V., Fixed point theorems on closed sets through abstract cones, Appl. Math. Comput., 3 (1977), No. 2, 155–167
- [40] Filip, A.-D., Fixed point theorems for multivalued contractions in Kasahara spaces, Carpathian J. Math., 31 (2015), No. 2, 189–196
- [41] Gabeleh, M., Existence and uniqueness results for best proximity points, Miskolc Math. Notes, 16 (2015), No. 1, 123–131

- [42] Horvat-Marc, A., Retraction methods in fixed point theory, Seminar of Fixed Point Theory Cluj-Napoca, 1 (2000), 39–54
- [43] Hussain, N., Salimi, P. and Vetro, P., Fixed points for α-ψ-Suzuki contractions with applications to integral equations, Carpathian J. Math. 30 (2014), No. 2, 197–207
- [44] Jachymski, J., The contraction principle for mappings on a metric space with a graph, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 136 (2008), No. 4, 1359–1373
- [45] Kannan, R., Some results on fixed points, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 10 (1968), 71–76
- [46] Kikkawa, M. and Suzuki, T., Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings. II, Bull. Kyushu Inst. Technol. Pure Appl. Math., (2008), No. 55, 1–13
- [47] Kikkawa, M. and Suzuki, T., Some similarity between contractions and Kannan mappings, Fixed Point Theory Appl, 2008, Art. ID 649749, 8 pp.
- [48] Kirk, W. A., Srinivasan, P. S. and Veeramani, P., Fixed points for mappings satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, Fixed Point Theory, 4 (2003), No. 1, 79–89
- [49] Meszaros, J., A comparison of various definitions of contractive type mappings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84 (1992), No. 2, 167–194
- [50] Nicolae, A., O'Regan, D. and Petruşel, A., Fixed point theorems for singlevalued and multivalued generalized contractions in metric spaces endowed with a graph, Georgian Math. J., 18 (2011), No. 2, 307–327
- [51] Nieto, J. J. and Rodriguez-Lopez, R., Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order, 22 (2005), No. 3, 223–239, (2006)
- [52] Nieto, J. J., Rodriguez-Lopez, R., Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Acta. Math. Sin., (Engl. Ser.), 23 (2007), No. 12, 2205–2212
- [53] Nieto, J. J., Pouso, R. L. and Rodriguez-Lopez, R., Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135 (2007), No. 8, 2505–2517
- [54] Panja, C. and Samanta, S. K., On determination of a common fixed point, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 11 (1980), No. 1, 120–127
- [55] Păcurar, M., Approximating common fixed points of Prešić-Kannan type operators by a multi-step iterative method, An. Ştiinţ, Univ. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat., 17 (2009), No. 1, 153–168
- [56] Păcurar, M., Iterative Methods for Fixed Point Approximation, Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca, 2010
- [57] Păcurar, M., A multi-step iterative method for approximating fixed points of Prešić-Kannan operators, Acta Math. Univ. Comen. New Ser., 79 (2010), No. 1, 77–88
- [58] Păcurar, M., A multi-step iterative method for approximating common fixed points of Prešić-Rus type operators on metric spaces, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 55 (2010), No. 1, 149–162
- [59] Păcurar, M., Fixed points of almost Prešić operators by a k-step iterative method, An. Ştiint, Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi, Ser. Noua, Mat., 57 (2011), Supliment 199–210
- [60] Petric, M., Some results concerning cyclical contractive mappings, Gen. Math., 18 (2010), No. 4, 213–226
- [61] Petric, M., Best proximity point theorems for weak cyclic Kannan contractions, Filomat, 25 (2011), No. 1, 145–154
- [62] Petruşel, A. and Rus, I. A., Fixed point theorems in ordered L-spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 134 (2006), No. 2, 411–418
- [63] Ran, A. C. M. and Reurings, M. C. B., A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 132 (2004), No. 5, 1435–1443
- [64] Rhoades, B. E., A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 226 (1977), 257–290
- [65] Rhoades, B. E., A fixed point theorem for some non-self-mappings, Math. Japon., 23 (1978/79), No. 4, 457–459
- [66] Rhoades, B. E., *Contractive definitions revisited*, Contemporary Mathematics, **21** (1983), 189–205
- [67] Rhoades, B. E., Contractive definitions and continuity, Contemporary Mathematics, 72 (1988), 233-245
- [68] Rus, I. A., Principles and Applications of the Fixed Point Theory (in Romanian), Editura Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979
- [69] Rus, I. A., Generalized contractions, Seminar on Fixed Point Theor, y 3 (1983), 1-130
- [70] Rus, I. A., Generalized Contractions and Applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001
- [71] Rus, I. A., Picard operators and applications, Sci. Math. Jpn., 58 (2003), No. 1, 191–219
- [72] Rus, I. A., Private communication, (2015)
- [73] Rus, I. A., Petruşel, A. and Petruşel, G., Fixed Point Theory, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2008
- [74] Samanta, S. K., Fixed point theorems for non-self-mappings, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 15 (1984), No. 3, 221–232
- [75] Samanta, S. K., Fixed point theorems for Kannan maps in a metric space with some convexity structure, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 80 (1988), No. 1, 58–64
- [76] Samanta, C. and Samanta, S. K., Fixed point theorems for multivalued non-self mappings, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 22 (1992), No. 1, 11–22
- [77] Shukla, S. and Abbas, M., Fixed point results of cyclic contractions in product spaces, Carpathian J. Math., 31 (2015), No. 1, 119–126

A. Horvat-Marc and L. Balog

- [78] Sun, Y. I., Su, Y. F. and Zhang, J. L., *A new method for the research of best proximity point theorems of nonlinear mappings*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014**, 2014:116, 18 pp.
- [79] Ume, J. S., Fixed point theorems for Kannan-type maps, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2015, 2015:38, 13 pp.
- [80] Zhang, J. L. and Su, Y. F., Best proximity point theorems for weakly contractive mapping and weakly Kannan mapping in partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2014**, 2014:50, 8 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE NORTH UNIVERSITY CENTER AT BAIA MARE TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CLUJ-NAPOCA VICTORIEI 76, 430122 BAIA MARE ROMANIA Email address: andrei.horvatmarc@unbm.ro Email address: balog_58@yahoo.com