

# On the stability of two functional equations arising in mathematical biology and theory of learning

AYNUR ŞAHİN, HAKAN ARISOY and ZEYNEP KALKAN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability and the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the following two functional equations

$$\varphi(x) = x\varphi((1 - \alpha)x + \alpha) + (1 - x)\varphi((1 - \beta)x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \beta < 1,$$

and

$$\varphi(x) = x\varphi(f(x)) + (1 - x)\varphi(g(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

which is an open problem raised by Berinde and Khan [Berinde, V. and Khan, A. R., *On a functional equation arising in mathematical biology and theory of learning*, *Creat. Math. Inform.*, **24** (2015), No. 1, 9–16].

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The stability problems of functional equations originated from the following question of Ulam [13] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let  $(G_1, \star)$  be a group and  $(G_2, \cdot, d)$  be a metric group with the metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfies the inequality  $d(f(x \star y), f(x) \cdot f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G_1$ , then there exists a homomorphism  $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  with  $d(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G_1$ ?

Hyers [7] gave the first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Indeed, he proved that each solution of the inequality

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon,$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$ , can be approximated by an exact solution, say an additive function. Hyers's theorem was generalized by Aoki [1] for additive mappings and by Rassias [11] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. Rassias [11] attempted to weaken the condition for the bound of the norm of the Cauchy difference as follows:

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

and derived Hyers's theorem. The work of Rassias has influenced a number of mathematicians to develop the notion what is now a days referred to as Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of linear mappings. Since then, stability of other functional equations, differential equations, and of various integral equations has been extensively investigated by many mathematicians (cf. [2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12]).

Let  $E = \{\varphi \in C[0, 1] : \varphi(0) = 0, \varphi(1) = 1\}$ . Then the mapping

$$\|\varphi\| = \sup_{t \neq s} \frac{|\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)|}{|t - s|}, \quad \varphi \in E,$$

is a norm on  $E$  and  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space (see [9]).

---

Received: 27.12.2017. In revised form: 03.05.2018. Accepted: 10.05.2018

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K20, 39B05, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. *functional equation, Hyers-Ulam stability, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability, fixed point.*

Corresponding author: Aynur Şahin; ayuce@sakarya.edu.tr

Lyubich and Shapiro [9] studied the existence and uniqueness of a continuous solution  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  of the following functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(x) = x\varphi((1 - \alpha)x + \alpha) + (1 - x)\varphi((1 - \beta)x), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \beta < 1$$

in the Banach space  $E$ .

In 2015, Berinde and Khan [3] proved the following theorem for the more general functional equation by using Banach contraction mapping principle.

**Theorem 1.1.** (see [3, Theorem 2.2]) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are contraction mappings on  $[0, 1]$  (endowed with usual norm) such that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $g(0) = 0$ , with the contraction coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, satisfying  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $2\alpha < 1$ , then*

1) *The functional equation*

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(x) = x\varphi(f(x)) + (1 - x)\varphi(g(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

*has a unique solution  $\bar{\varphi}$  in  $E$ .*

2) *The sequence of successive approximations  $\{\varphi_n\}$ , defined by*

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = x\varphi_n(f(x)) + (1 - x)\varphi_n(g(x)), \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n \geq 0$$

*converges strongly to  $\bar{\varphi}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for any  $\varphi_0 \in E$ .*

3) *The error estimate of  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is given by*

$$\|\varphi_{n+i-1} - \bar{\varphi}\| \leq \frac{(2\alpha)^i}{1 - 2\alpha} \|\varphi_n - \varphi_{n-1}\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

4) *The rate of convergence of the iterative method  $\{\varphi_n\}$  is linear, i.e.,*

$$\|\varphi_n - \bar{\varphi}\| \leq 2\alpha \|\varphi_{n-1} - \bar{\varphi}\|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

In [3], the authors left the stability problem of the two functional equations (1.1) and (1.2) as an open problem. The purpose of the paper is to solve it.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we consider the complete metric space  $(E, d)$  where

$$d(\varphi, \psi) = \|\varphi - \psi\| = \sup_{t \neq s} \frac{|(\varphi - \psi)(t) - (\varphi - \psi)(s)|}{|t - s|} \quad \text{for all } \varphi, \psi \in E.$$

We first prove that the functional equation (1.2) has the Hyers-Ulam stability.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the equation  $T\varphi = \varphi$ , where  $T$  is defined by*

$$T : E \rightarrow C[0, 1], \quad (T\varphi)(x) = x\varphi(f(x)) + (1 - x)\varphi(g(x))$$

*for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , has the Hyers-Ulam stability; that is, for every  $\varphi \in E$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  with  $d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \epsilon$ , there exists a unique  $\bar{\varphi} \in E$  such that*

$$T\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq K\epsilon,$$

*for some  $K > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in E, \epsilon > 0$  and  $d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \epsilon$ . In the proof of Theorem 1.1, the authors showed that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n \varphi(x)$$

is a exact solution of the equation  $T\varphi = \varphi$ . Since  $T^n\varphi$  is uniformly convergent to  $\bar{\varphi}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there is a natural number  $N$  such that  $d(T^n\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq \epsilon$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 & \leq d(\varphi, T^n\varphi) + d(T^n\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 & \leq d(\varphi, T\varphi) + d(T\varphi, T^2\varphi) + d(T^2\varphi, T^3\varphi) + \dots + d(T^{n-1}\varphi, T^n\varphi) + d(T^n\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 & \leq d(\varphi, T\varphi) + 2\alpha d(\varphi, T\varphi) + (2\alpha)^2 d(\varphi, T\varphi) + \dots + (2\alpha)^{n-1} d(\varphi, T\varphi) + d(T^n\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \\
 & \leq d(\varphi, T\varphi)(1 + 2\alpha + (2\alpha)^2 + \dots + (2\alpha)^{n-1}) + \epsilon \\
 & \leq \epsilon \cdot \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha} + \epsilon = \left( \frac{2 - 2\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} \right) \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

The following example shows validity of Theorem 2.2.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 + 5}{6}; \quad g(x) = \frac{x^2}{5}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(x) - f(y)| &= \left| \frac{x^2 + 5}{6} - \frac{y^2 + 5}{6} \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} |x^2 - y^2| = \frac{1}{6} |x - y| |x + y| \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{6} |x - y| = \frac{1}{3} |x - y|
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |g(x) - g(y)| &= \left| \frac{x^2}{5} - \frac{y^2}{5} \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{5} |x^2 - y^2| = \frac{1}{5} |x - y| |x + y| \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{5} |x - y|
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $f, g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are contraction mappings such that  $f(1) = 1$  and  $g(0) = 0$ , with the contraction coefficients  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\beta = \frac{2}{5}$ , respectively. Also, the conditions  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and  $2\alpha < 1$  are satisfied. On the other hand, we obtain

$$K = \frac{2 - \frac{2}{3}}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} = 4.$$

If a function  $\varphi \in E$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for some } \epsilon > 0,$$

then Theorem 2.2 implies that there exists a unique  $\bar{\varphi} \in E$  such that

$$T\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq 4\epsilon.$$

We now prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the functional equation (1.2).

**Theorem 2.3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the equation  $T\varphi = \varphi$ , where  $T$  is defined by

$$T : E \rightarrow C[0, 1], \quad (T\varphi)(x) = x\varphi(f(x)) + (1-x)\varphi(g(x))$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , has the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability; that is, for every  $\varphi \in E$  and  $\sigma(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  with  $d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \sigma(x)$ , there exists a unique  $\bar{\varphi} \in E$  such that

$$T\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq K_1\sigma(x),$$

for some  $K_1 > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in E$ ,  $\sigma$  be a non-negative function on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \sigma(x)$ , and let  $\bar{\varphi} \in E$  be the unique solution of the functional equation (1.2) on  $E$ . Then, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) &\leq d(\varphi, T\varphi) + d(T\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \\ &\leq \sigma(x) + d(T\varphi, \bar{\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad d(T\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) = d(T\varphi, T\bar{\varphi}) \leq 2\alpha d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}).$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq \sigma(x) + 2\alpha d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi})$$

which implies that

$$d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq K_1\sigma(x)$$

with  $K_1 = \frac{1}{1-2\alpha}$ . Hence, the functional equation (1.2) has the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.  $\square$

Next, we give an example to support Theorem 2.3.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be given by

$$f(x) = -\frac{1}{5}x + 1, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}, \quad x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right].$$

To verify that  $f$  is contraction mapping with the contraction coefficient  $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$ , consider the following cases:

**Case I:** Let  $x, y \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| -\frac{1}{5}x + 1 - \left( -\frac{1}{5}y + 1 \right) \right| = \frac{1}{5}|x - y|.$$

**Case II:** Let  $x, y \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5} - \left( \frac{1}{5}y + \frac{4}{5} \right) \right| = \frac{1}{5}|x - y|.$$

**Case III:** Let  $x \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $y \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| -\frac{1}{5}x + 1 - \left( \frac{1}{5}y + \frac{4}{5} \right) \right| = \frac{1}{5}|x + y - 1|.$$

For  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{5}|x - y|$ , we must have  $|x + y - 1| \leq y - x$ , i.e,  $2x \leq 1 \leq 2y$ , which is obviously true.

**Case IV:** Let  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $y \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = \left| \frac{1}{5}x + \frac{4}{5} - \left( -\frac{1}{5}y + 1 \right) \right| = \frac{1}{5}|x + y - 1|.$$

For  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{1}{5}|x - y|$ , we must have  $|x + y - 1| \leq x - y$ , i.e.  $2y \leq 1 \leq 2x$ , which is obviously true.

Let now  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be given by

$$g(x) = \frac{4}{5}x, \quad x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = -\frac{4}{5}x + \frac{4}{5}, \quad x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right].$$

Similarly, it can be shown that  $g$  is contraction mapping with the contraction coefficient  $\beta = \frac{4}{5}$ . On the other hand, the contraction coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.1. Also, we get

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{5}} = \frac{5}{3}.$$

If a function  $\varphi \in E$  satisfies the inequality

$$d(T\varphi, \varphi) \leq \sigma(x) \quad \text{for some } \sigma(x) > 0,$$

then Theorem 2.3 implies that there exists a unique  $\bar{\varphi} \in E$  such that

$$T\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi} \quad \text{and} \quad d(\varphi, \bar{\varphi}) \leq \frac{5}{3}\sigma(x).$$

If we take  $f(x) = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha$  and  $g(x) = (1 - \beta)x$  for each  $x \in [0, 1]$  in Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are given by  $f(x) = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha$  and  $g(x) = (1 - \beta)x$  in (1.2), then the functional equation (1.1) has the Hyers-Ulam stability and the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aoki, T., *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn., **2** (1950), 64–66
- [2] Bae, J. H. and Park, W. G., *A fixed point approach to the stability of a Cauchy-Jensen functional equation*, Abst. Appl. Anal., **2012** (2012), Article ID 205160, 1–10
- [3] Berinde, V. and Khan, A. R., *On a functional equation arising in mathematical biology and theory of learning*, Creat. Math. Inform., **24** (2015), No. 1, 9–16
- [4] Cădariu, L. and Radu, V., *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **4** (2003), No. 1, Article 4, 7 pp.
- [5] Castro, L. P. and Guerra, R. C., *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Volterra integral equations within weighted spaces*, Libertas Math. (new series), **33** (2013), No. 2, 21–35
- [6] Gachpazan, M. and Bagdani, O., *Hyers-Ulam stability of nonlinear integral equation*, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2010** (2010), Article ID 927640, 1–6
- [7] Hyers, D. H., *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, **27** (1941), 222–224
- [8] Jung, S. Mo and Min, S., *A fixed point approach to the stability of the functional equation  $f(x+y) = F[f(x), f(y)]$* , Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2009** (2009), Article ID 912046, 1–8
- [9] Lyubich, I. Yu. and Shapiro, A. P., *On a functional equation (Russian)*, Teor. Funkts., Funkts. Anal. Prilozh., **17** (1973), 81–84
- [10] Morales, J. S. and Rojas, E. M., *Hyers-Ulam and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of nonlinear integral equations with delay*, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl., **2** (2011), No. 2, 1–6
- [11] Rassias, Th. M., *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 297–300
- [12] Rus, I. A., *Ulam stabilities of ordinary differential equations in a Banach space*, Carpathian J. Math., **26** (2010), No. 1, 103–107
- [13] Ulam, S. M., *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

SAKARYA UNIVERSITY

SAKARYA, 54050 TURKEY

Email address: ayuce@sakarya.edu.tr

Email address: hakanarisoy34@hotmail.com

Email address: zeynepyildiz28@gmail.com