

Abel extensions of some classical Tauberian theorems

ERDAL GÜL and MEHMET ALBAYRAK

ABSTRACT. The well-known classical Tauberian theorems given for A_λ (the discrete Abel mean) by Armitage and Maddox in [Armitage, H. D and Maddox, J. I., *Discrete Abel means*, Analysis, **10** (1990), 177–186] is generalized. Similarly the "one-sided" Tauberian theorems of Landau and Schmidt for the Abel method are extended by replacing $\lim As$ with Abel- $\lim A\sigma_n^i(s)$. Slowly oscillating of $\{s_n\}$ is a Tauberian condition of the Hardy-Littlewood Tauberian theorem for Borel summability which is also given by replacing $\lim_t (Bs)_t = \ell$, where t is a continuous parameter, with $\lim_n (Bs)_n = \ell$, and further replacing it by Abel- $\lim(B\sigma_k^i(s))_n = \ell$, where B is the Borel matrix method.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $u = \{u_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}).

Definition 1.1. ([2], [3], [5]) A series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$ of real (or complex) numbers is called Abel summable to ℓ if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x^k$ is convergent for $0 \leq x < 1$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} (1-x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x^k = \ell, \text{ where } s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k.$$

In this case, we write $Abel - \lim s_n = \ell$.

Definition 1.2. ([1]) A series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k$ of real (or complex) numbers is called A_λ (the discrete Abel mean) convergent to ℓ if the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x_n^k$ is convergent for all n and

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow 1^-} (1-x_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x_n^k = \ell,$$

where $\lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ is a given sequence such that $1 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined by $x_n = 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_n}$. Clearly $0 \leq x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n \rightarrow 1$. In this case, we write $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$.

From definition 1.2, we say that the $\{s_n\}$ is in the domain of the method A_λ if the sequence $(A_\lambda s)_n := (1-x_n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k x_n^k$ is convergent for all n .

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, define

$$\sigma_n^i(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \sigma_k^{i-1}(s) & \text{if } i \geq 1 \\ s_n & \text{if } i = 0. \end{cases}$$

Received: 14.09.2018. In revised form: 10.04.2019. Accepted: 17.04.2019

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 40G05, 40G10.

Key words and phrases. Abel convergence, discrete Abel convergence, Tauberian theorems, Slowly oscillating.

Corresponding author: Erdal Gül; gul@yildiz.edu.tr

Abel's well-known Limit Theorem says that the Abel summability method is regular if $\lim s_n = \ell$ implies $Abel - \lim s_n = \ell$. As we know the converse is false in general, e.g.

$Abel - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n = \frac{1}{2}$ (Abel) but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Also, it is obvious that $Abel - \lim s_n = \ell$

implies $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$. Hence A_λ also defines a regular method. A_λ summability method is regular; that is, if, $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$ then $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^1(s) = \ell$.

By [7], the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$ is Borel summable to ℓ provided that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{-t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_k t^k}{k!} = \ell.$$

Consider as in [4] the summability matrix $B = (b_{nk})$ is given by

$$b_{nk} = \frac{e^{-n} n^k}{k!}$$

By [11], it is known that $\{s_n\}$ is slowly oscillating if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $N = N(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$|s_m - s_n| < \varepsilon \text{ if } n \geq N(\varepsilon) \text{ and } n \leq m \leq (1 + \delta)n,$$

and $\{s_n\}$ of real numbers is slowly decreasing if

$$\liminf (s_m - s_n) \geq 0 \text{ whenever } n \rightarrow \infty, m > n \text{ with } \frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus, in particular, $\{s_n\}$ is slowly oscillating when $n\Delta s_n$ is bounded and $\{s_n\}$ is slowly decreasing when $n\Delta s_n$ is bounded below.

Also, we say that $\{s_n\}$ is strongly slowly oscillating if

$$(s_m - s_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ whenever } n \rightarrow \infty, m > n \text{ with } \frac{m}{n} = O(1);$$

and $\{s_n\}$ is strongly slowly decreasing if $\{s_n\}$ is real and

$$\liminf (s_m - s_n) \geq 0 \text{ whenever } n \rightarrow \infty, m > n \text{ with } \frac{m}{n} = O(1).$$

Define $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k u_k = \sum_{k=1}^n k \Delta s_k$ and $\Delta s_k = s_k - s_{k-1}, s_{-1} = 0$. We will prove that

$$t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k u_k = (n+1)s_n - \sum_{k=0}^n s_k. \quad (1.1)$$

We prove this by using mathematical induction. We show that our claims true for $n = 1$:

$$t_1 = 1u_1 = 2s_1 - (s_0 + s_1) = 2s_1 - s_1 - s_0 = s_1 - s_0 = u_1.$$

$$\text{For } n = 2, \quad t_2 = u_1 + 2u_2 = 3s_2 - (s_0 + s_1 + s_2) = 2s_2 - s_0 - s_1 = 2u_2 + u_1.$$

Assume that it is true for $n = m$;

$$t_m = \sum_{k=1}^m k u_k = (m+1)s_m - \sum_{k=0}^m s_k \quad (1.2)$$

and we prove that it is true for $n = m + 1$: we add both sides $(m+1)u_{m+1}$ of the equality (1.2)

$$t_m + (m+1)u_{m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^m k u_k + (m+1)u_{m+1} = (m+1)s_m + (m+1)u_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m s_k$$

$$t_{m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} ku_k = (m+1)s_{m+1} + s_{m+1} - s_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^m s_k$$

$$t_{m+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} ku_k = (m+2)s_{m+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} s_k.$$

Thus proof is done. We obtain from (1.1)

$$z_n := \frac{t_n}{n+1} = s_n - \sigma_n^1(s) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n k \Delta s_k = n \Delta \sigma_n^1(s)$$

and

$$\sigma_n^i(z) = \sigma_n^i(s) - \sigma_n^{i+1}(s) = n \Delta \sigma_n^{i+1}(s).$$

Here, $\{z_n\}$ is known as the Kronecker identity. The classical control modulo of the oscillatory behaviour of a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is denoted by $w_n^0(s) = n \Delta s_n$. The general control modulo of the oscillatory behaviour of nonnegative integer order $m \geq 1$ of a sequence $\{s_n\}$ is defined inductively in [3] by $w_n^m(s) = w_n^{m-1}(s) - \sigma_n^1(w_n^{m-1}(s))$. General control modulo is developed by Çanak in [2].

Throughout this paper, the symbols $s_n = o(1)$ and $s_n = O(1)$ mean that $s_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\{s_n\}$ is bounded for large enough n , respectively.

Theorem 1.1. ([1]) *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of real numbers which tends to infinity such that*

$$\lim_n \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1.$$

If the $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$ and $\{s_n\}$ is slowly decreasing, then $\lim s_n = \ell$.

Lemma 1.1. ([1]) *If $\{s_n\}$ is slowly decreasing, then $\left\{ \frac{t_n}{n} \right\}$ is bounded below.*

Now, we will prove that the hypothesis $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$ and slowly decreasing of $\{s_n\}$ can be replaced by $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and slowly decreasing of $\{z_n\}$. So, we generalize some classical types of Tauberian theorems for given A_λ . Moreover, we extend the "one-sided" Tauberian theorems of Landau and Schmidt's Tauberian theorems for the Abel method by replacing $\lim As$ with Abel- $\lim A\sigma_n^i(s)$.

Before proving our statements, we recall more results that we will need in the sequel.

Theorem 1.2. ([9], [11])

- (1) *If Abel- $\lim s_n = \ell$ and $n \Delta s_n \geq -c$ for a positive number c then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*
- (2) *Let a sequence $\{s_n\}$ of real numbers be slowly decreasing. Then Abel- $\lim s_n = \ell$ implies $\lim s_n = \ell$.*
- (3) *If Borel- $\lim s_n = \ell$ and $\Delta s_n = o(1)$ then $\lim \sigma_n^1(s) = \ell$.*

2. MAIN RESULTS

Lemma 2.2. *If the $\{s_n\}$ is in the domain of method A_λ for which $\lambda_n = n^\beta$, for some $\beta \geq 1$ and $n \Delta s_n \geq -c$ for some positive c , then the transformed sequence $n \Delta (A_\lambda \sigma_k^i(s))_n$ is also of one-sided, that is $n \Delta (A_\lambda \sigma_k^i(s))_n \geq c_1$ for some positive c_1 .*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5 in [6], if $n \Delta s_n \geq -c$ for a positive number c then $n \Delta \sigma_n^i(s) \geq -c$. In [1] Armitage and Maddox showed that if we let $v_k(x) = \frac{x^k}{k}$ then

$$(1-x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sigma_k^i(s) x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta \sigma_k^i(s) x^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k (v_k(x) - v_{k+1}(x)), \quad 0 < x < 1,$$

where $y_k = \sum_{j=1}^k j \Delta \sigma_j^i(s)$. It follows that from $n \Delta \sigma_n^i(s) \geq -c$, $y_k = \sum_{j=1}^k j \Delta \sigma_j^i(s) \geq -kc$.

Hence we see that since $\{\sigma_k^i(s)\}$ verifies the one-sided Tauberian condition, $\{y_k\}$ is bounded below by $-kM$ for some positive number M . If the Abel transform of $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ is denoted by $A(x) = (A\sigma_k^i(s))_x$ then, for such a positive constant M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \Delta A(x_n) &= n \left(A(x_n) - A(x_{n-1}) \right) = n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} y^{k-1} (1-y) dy \right) \\ &\geq -n \left(M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} y^{k-1} (1-y) dy \right) = -n \left(M \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k y^{k-1} (1-y) dy \right) \\ &= -n \left(M \int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_n} (1-y)^{-1} dy \right) \geq n \left(-M \log \frac{n^\beta}{(n-1)^\beta} \right) \geq -M \log \left(\frac{n^\beta + 1}{(n-1)^\beta} \right)^n \\ &= -M \log \left(\frac{n^\beta}{(n-1)^\beta} + \frac{1}{(n-1)^\beta} \right)^n = -M \log \left(C^\beta + D^\beta \right)^n \\ &= -M \log C^{n\beta} \left[1 + \left(\frac{D}{C} \right)^\beta \right]^n = -M \left[\log \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right)^{n\beta} + \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n^\beta} \right)^n \right] \\ &= -M \left[\beta \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^n + \log \left[\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\beta \right]^{n^\beta} \right]^{n^{1-\beta}} \right] \\ &= -M \left[\beta \log \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \right] + \log \left[\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\beta \right]^{n^\beta} \right]^{n^{1-\beta}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_n n \Delta A_\lambda(x_n) &\geq \\ \liminf_n -M &\left[\beta \log \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \right] + \log \left[\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\beta \right]^{n^\beta} \right]^{n^{1-\beta}} \right] \\ &= -M \limsup_n \left[\beta \log \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \right] + \log \left[\left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^\beta \right]^{n^\beta} \right]^{n^{1-\beta}} \right] \\ &= -M(\beta + \log e^0) = -M\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we see that the sequence $(A_\lambda \sigma_k^i(s))_n$ obeys the one-sided Tauberian condition. □

Lemma 2.3. *If the $\{s_n\}$ is in the domain of the method A_λ and is of slowly decreasing then the transformed sequence $(A_\lambda \sigma_k^i(s))_n$ is also of slowly decreasing.*

Proof. Proof is similar to one of Lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.4. *If $\{s_n\}$ is slowly decreasing then $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ is slowly decreasing.*

Proof. We claim that $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ is slowly decreasing. We will prove this by using mathematical induction. We show that our claims true for $i = 1$. Let $\{s_n\}$ be slowly decreasing. By Lemma 1.1, $z_n = \frac{t_n}{n} = s_n - \sigma_n^1(s)$ is bounded below. Hence, $z_n = n \Delta \sigma_n^1(s)$ is bounded below. Consequently, $\{\sigma_n^1(s)\}$ is slowly decreasing. Assume that it is true for

$i = t-1$, and we will prove that it is true for $i = t$. By assumption, since $\{\sigma_n^{t-1}(s)\}$ is slowly decreasing and applying Lemma 1.1, we obtain $\sigma_n^{t-1}(z) = n\Delta\sigma_n^t(s)$ is bounded below. Hence, there exists a positive constant M such that $n\Delta\sigma_n^t(s) \geq -M$ for all n . For n large enough, $n > N_1$, $\sigma_m^t(s) - \sigma_n^t(s) = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \Delta\sigma_k^t(s) \geq -\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{M}{k} = -M\left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+2} + \dots + \frac{1}{m}\right) \geq -M\left(\frac{1}{n+1} + \frac{1}{n+1} + \dots + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \geq -M\left(1 - \frac{m}{n}\right) \geq -M(1-1) = 0$, by $\frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $\{s_n\}$ is slowly oscillating then $\{\sigma_n^i(u)\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ is slowly oscillating.*

Proof. Proof is similar to one of Lemma 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.6. *If $\{s_k\}$ is slowly oscillating then $\{(B\sigma_k^i(s))_n\}$ is slowly oscillating.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, slow oscillating of $\{s_k\}$ implies both $\{\sigma_k^i(s)\}$ for all $i \geq 1$ is slowly oscillating and $\Delta\sigma_k^i(s) = o(1)$. It follows that $\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^m \Delta\sigma_k^i(s) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for n large enough. Thus

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (B\sigma_k^i(s))_m - (B\sigma_k^i(s))_n \right| = \left| (B\sigma_k^i(s))_{n+r} - (B\sigma_k^i(s))_n \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^p b_{r,j} b_{n,p-j} \sigma_p^i(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sigma_k^i(s) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{p=j}^{\infty} b_{n,p-j} \sigma_p^i(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sigma_k^i(s) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sigma_{k+j}^i(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sigma_k^i(s) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} (\sigma_{k+j}^i(s) - \sigma_k^i(s)) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sum_{p=1}^j \Delta\sigma_{k+p}^i(s) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sum_{p=1}^{j_0} |\Delta\sigma_{k+p}^i(s)| + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \left| \sum_{p=j_0+1}^j \Delta\sigma_{k+p}^i(s) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sum_{p=1}^{j_0} \frac{1}{k+p} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \sum_{p=1}^j \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j b_{r,j} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \frac{1}{k+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \frac{n}{n(k+1)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k} \frac{n}{k+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k+1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq n_0$ large enough. \square

Theorem 2.3 extends the Theorem 1.1 which is given in [1].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$. If $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and $\{z_n\}$ is slowly decreasing then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

Proof. Since A_λ method is regular, $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^{i+1}(s) = \ell$. Hence, we have $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(z) = 0$. By Lemma 2.4, as $z_n = n\Delta\sigma_n^1(s)$ is slowly decreasing, $(\sigma_n^i(z)) = n\Delta\sigma_n^{i+1}(s)$ for all $i \geq 1$ is slowly decreasing. Since $\{\sigma_n^i(z)\}$ is A_λ summability to 0, $\lim \sigma_n^i(z) = \lim n\Delta\sigma_n^{i+1}(s) = 0$. $\lim n\Delta\sigma_n^{i+1}(s) = 0$ implies $n\Delta\sigma_n^{i+1}(s)$ is bounded below, that is, $n\Delta\sigma_n^{i+1}(s) \geq -c$ for some positive c . It follows that $\{\sigma_n^{i+1}(s)\}$ is slowly decreasing. If $\sigma_n^i(z) = \sigma_n^i(s) - \sigma_n^{i+1}(s)$ is slowly decreasing, then $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ is slowly decreasing. From $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$, we have $\lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$. By the fact that every sequence $(C, 1)$ limitable is Abel limitable, we have $Abel - \lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$. $Abel - \lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$ implies $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$. If we continue in that way, we obtain, $A_\lambda - \lim s_n = \ell$. By Theorem 1.1, $\lim s_n = \ell$. □

Theorem 2.3 generalises Theorem 1.1. For example, if we consider the case $i = 1$ then the sequence $\{s_n\}$ which is the Taylor coefficients of the function f defined by $f(t) = \sin(1-t)^{-1}$ on $0 < t < 1$ is not A_λ convergent however, Cesaro of the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is A_λ convergent.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3 is that the boundedness below of $n\Delta z_n$ is a Tauberian condition for A_λ .

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$. If $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and $n\Delta z_n \geq -c$ for some positive c , then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

Also, by considering $\{s_n\}$ as a complex sequence we deduce the following result.

Corollary 2.2. *Let $\lim_n \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$. If $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and slowly oscillating of $\{z_n\}$, then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

The proof of Lemma 1.1 in [1] can be modified to show that if $\{z_n\}$ is strongly slowly decreasing, then $\lim inf \left(\frac{t_n}{n}\right) \geq 0$. In view of this, the proof of Theorem 2.3 can be adapted to yield the following result:

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = O(1)$. If $A_\lambda - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and $\{z_n\}$ is the strongly slowly decreasing, then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

It follows that for a complex sequence $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ the strongly slowly oscillating of $\{z_n\}$ is a Tauberian condition for A_λ when $\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = O(1)$.

Theorem 2.4 is a generalization of the Theorem 7 in [1]. The strongly slowly decreasing of $\{z_n\}$ does not imply the strongly slowly decreasing of $\{s_n\}$. As an example, if we take $s_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^{\frac{1}{2}}}$, we see that $z_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is slowly decreasing but clearly, $\{s_n\}$ is not slowly decreasing.

Next theorem extends the classical Tauberian theorems of Hardy and Littlewood in [7] and [10] respectively.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$ and $\lambda_n = n^\beta$, for some $\beta \geq 1$. If $Abel - \lim (A_\lambda \sigma_n^i(s))_k = \ell$ and $n\Delta s_n \geq -c$, then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.5 of in [6], $n\Delta s_n \geq -c$ for a positive number c implies $n\Delta\sigma_n^i(s) \geq -c$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we see that $(A_\lambda\sigma_n^i(s))_k$ obeys the one-sided Tauberian condition. From $\text{Abel-lim}(A_\lambda\sigma_n^i(s))_k = \ell$, we have $\lim A_\lambda\sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$, by above (1) in Theorem 1.2. Now by Theorem 1.1 implies that $\sigma_n^i(s)$ is Abel summable to ℓ . Since $n\Delta\sigma_n^i(s) \geq -c$, $\lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$. By the fact that every sequence $(C, 1)$ limitable is Abel limitable, we have $\text{Abel} - \lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$. Since $\text{Abel} - \lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$ and $n\Delta\sigma_n^{i-1}(s) \geq -c$, we obtain that $\lim \sigma_n^{i-1}(s) = \ell$. If we continue in that way, we obtain, $\text{Abel} - \lim s_n = \ell$. By (1) in Theorem 1.2, $\lim s_n = \ell$. \square

Remark 2.1. The following result, which is analogous to Theorem 2.5, may be proved for the slow decrease condition by using the Tauberian theorems results provided in [11] and Lemma 2.4. This then extends the classical Tauberian theorem of Schmidt [11].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} = 1$. If $\text{Abel-lim}(A_\lambda\sigma_n^i(s))_k = \ell$ and the $\{s_n\}$ is slowly decreasing then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

Final theorem is a Abel extension of Hardy and Littlewood's Tauberian theorem in [8] for Borel summability.

Theorem 2.7. *If $\text{Abel-lim}(B\sigma_n^i(s))_k = \ell$ and $\{s_n\}$ is slowly oscillating then $\lim s_n = \ell$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, slowly oscillating of $\{s_n\}$ implies both slowly oscillating of $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ and $\Delta\sigma_n^i(s) = o(1)$. By Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $(B\sigma_n^i(s))_k$ is slowly oscillating. This allows us to apply (2) in Theorem 1.2 that $\lim(B\sigma_n^i(s))_k = \ell$. Now (3) in Theorem 1.2 gives $\lim \sigma_n^{i+1}(s) = \ell$. By the fact that every sequence $(C, 1)$ limitable is Abel limitable, we have $\text{Abel} - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$. Since $\text{Abel} - \lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$ and $\{\sigma_n^i(s)\}$ is slowly decreasing, we obtain $\lim \sigma_n^i(s) = \ell$. If we continue in that way, we obtain $\text{Abel} - \lim s_n = \ell$. Again by (2) in Theorem 1.2, we get $\lim s_n = \ell$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors want to thank the anonymous referee for the valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Armitage, H. D and Maddox, J. I., *Discrete Abel means*, Analysis, **10** (1990), 177–186
- [2] Çanak, İ., *An extended Tauberian theorem for the $(C, 1)$ summability method*, Appl. Math. Lett., **21** (2008), No. 1, 74–80
- [3] Dik, M., *Tauberian theorems for sequences with moderately oscillatory control moduli*, Mathematica Moravica, **5** (2001), 57–94
- [4] Fridy, A. J and Khan, M. K., *Statistical extension of some classical Tauberian theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (2000), 2347–2355
- [5] Gül, E. and Albayrak, M., *On Abel convergent series of functions*, Journal of Advances in Mathematics. **11** (2016), No. 9, 5639–5644
- [6] Gül, E. and Albayrak, M., *Tauberian Theorems for Statistical Convergence*. Tamkang Journal of Mathematics **11** (2017), No. 4, 321–330
- [7] Hardy, H. G. and Littlewood, J. E., *Tauberian theorems concerning power series and Dirichlet's series whose coeicients are positive*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **13** (1914), No. 2, 174–191
- [8] Hardy, H. G and Littlewood, J. E., *Theorems concerning the summability of series by Borel's exponential method*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo., **41** (1910), No. 2, 36–53
- [9] Landau, E., *Über die Bedeutung einiger neuen Grenzwertsätze der Herren Hardy und Axer*, Prace Mat.-Fiz., **21** (1910), 97–177
- [10] Littlewood, J. E., *The converse of Abel's theorems on power series*, P. Lond. Math. Soc., **9** (1910), No. 2, 434–448
- [11] Schmidt, R., *Über divergente Folgen und lineare Mittelbildungen*, Math. Z., **22** (1925), 89–152

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
YILDIZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY
ESENLER, 34210, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
Email address: gul@yildiz.edu.tr
Email address: mehmetalbayrak12@gmail.com