

Semiprime rings with multiplicative (generalized)-derivations involving left multipliers

G. NAGA MALLESWARI¹, S. SREENIVASULU² and G. SHOBHALATHA³

ABSTRACT. Let R be a semiprime ring, I a non zero ideal of R . A mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)-derivation of R if $F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where d is any mapping on R . A map $H : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called a multiplicative left multiplier if

$$H(xy) = H(x)y, \text{ holds for all } x, y \in R.$$

The main objective of this article is to study the following situations:

- (i) $F(xoy) \pm H(xoy) = 0$,
 - (ii) $F(xoy) \pm H[x, y] = 0$,
 - (iii) $F[x, y] \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$,
 - (iv) $F(xoy) \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$,
 - (v) $F(xy) \pm [x, H(y)] \in Z(R)$,
 - (vi) $F(xy) \pm [H(x), H(y)] \in Z(R)$,
- for all x, y in some appropriate subsets of R .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let R denote an associative ring with center $Z(R)$. A ring R is called a prime ring if for any $a, b \in R$, $aRb = 0$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and is called a semiprime ring if $aRa = 0$ implies that $a = 0$. For any $x, y \in R$, we shall denote the commutator and anti-commutator by the symbols

$$[x, y] = xy - yx$$

and

$$(xoy) = xy + yx,$$

respectively.

An additive map $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation of R if

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$.

An additive mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ associated with a derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a generalized derivation of R if

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y),$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$.

In [6], Bresar introduced the notion of generalized derivation. Obviously, every derivation is a generalized derivation of R . Thus, generalized derivation covers both the concept of derivation and the concept of left multipliers. Let S be a non-empty subset of R .

A map $f : S \rightarrow R$ is called a centralizing(commuting) map on S if

Received: 29.04.2020. In revised form: 08.12.2020. Accepted: 15.12.2020

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N60, 16W25.

Key words and phrases. *semiprime rings, ideal, multiplicative (generalized)-derivations, multiplicative left multipliers.*

Corresponding author: G. Naga Malleswari; malleswari.gn@gmail.com

$[f(x), x] \in Z(R)$ (or $[f(x), x] = 0$), for all $x \in S$.

The concept of multiplicative derivations appears for the first time in the work of Daif [9] and it was motivated by the work of Martindale [18]. According to Daif [9]: A map $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a multiplicative derivation of R if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. Further, the complete description of those maps were given by Goldmann and semrl in [13]. The notion of multiplicative derivation was extended to multiplicative generalized derivation by Daif and Tammam-El-Sayiad [11] as follows: a map $F : R \rightarrow R$ is called a multiplicative generalized derivation if there exists a derivation d such that

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

Recently, Dhara and Ali [12] gave a definition of multiplicative(generalized)-derivation as follows: a mapping $F : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be multiplicative (generalized)-derivation if

$$F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$, where d is any map on R (not necessarily a derivation nor additive). Hence the concept of multiplicative (generalized)-derivation covers the concept of multiplicative derivation. A mapping $H : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative left multiplier if

$$H(xy) = H(x)y$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$ ([19]).

Moreover, multiplicative(generalized)-derivation with $d = 0$ covers the concept of multiplicative left multipliers. Many papers in literature have investigated the commutativity of prime and semiprime rings satisfying certain functional identities involving multiplicative generalized derivations or multiplicative(generalized)-derivations ([3], [4], [5], [7], [15], [16], [17], [20], [21] and [22]).

Daif and Bell [10] proved that if a semiprime ring R admits a derivation d such that $d[x, y] \pm [x, y] = 0$ holds for all x, y in a non-zero ideal I of R , then R is commutative. Hongan [14] generalized these results by taking the same situations in the center of the ring R . Asma Ali et al.[1] investigated the commutativity of a prime ring admitting a generalized derivation satisfying any one of the following identities: (i) $F([x, y]) \pm [x, y] \in Z(R)$ (ii) $F(xoy) \pm (xoy) \pm Z(R)$ in some appropriate subset of R . Recently, Ali et al.[2] proved multiplicative(generalized)-derivation and left ideals in semiprime rings. Dedem Camci and Neset Aydin [8] studied the following identities related to multiplicative(generalized)-derivations in semiprime rings:

- (i) $F(xy) \pm H(xy) = 0$,
- (ii) $F(xy) \pm H(yx) = 0$,
- (iii) $F(x)F(y) \pm H(xy) = 0$,
- (iv) $F(xy) \pm H(xy) \in Z$,
- (v) $F(xy) \pm H(yx) \in Z$,
- (vi) $F(x)F(y) \pm H(xy) \in Z$,

for all $x, y \in R$.

In this line of investigation, it is more interesting to study the semiprime rings with multiplicative(generalized)-derivations involving left multipliers in some appropriate subsets of R .

Throughout the paper, R will be a semiprime ring, I a non zero ideal of R , F be a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation of R and H be a multiplicative left multiplier of R .

We shall frequently use the following basic commutator and anti-commutator identities in the proofs of our results:

- (i) $[x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z$,
(ii) $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$,
(iii) $xoyz = (xoy)z - y[x, z] = y(xoz) + [x, y]z$,
(iv) $xyozy = x(yoz) - [x, z]y = (xoz)y + x[y, z]$,
for all $x, y, z \in R$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with our first theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xoy) \pm H(xoy) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(xoy) \pm H(xoy) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.1)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.1), we obtain

$$F((xoy)x) \pm H((xoy)x) = 0,$$

Using (2.1), it reduces to

$$(xoy)d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.2)$$

Substituting $d(x)y$ for y and using (2.2), we get

$$[x, d(x)]yd(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.3)$$

Right multiplying (2.3) by x , we get

$$[x, d(x)]yd(x)x = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.4)$$

Replace y by yx in (2.3), we obtain

$$[x, d(x)]yxd(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.5)$$

subtract (2.4) from (2.5), we get

$$[x, d(x)]y[x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.6)$$

Replacing y by ry , we obtain

$$[x, d(x)]ry[x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I \text{ and } r \in R. \quad (2.7)$$

left multiplying (2.7) by y , we get

$$y[x, d(x)]Ry[x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

By the semiprimeness of R , we conclude that $y[x, d(x)] = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$,
that is, $I[x, d(x)] = 0$, for all $x \in I$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xoy) \pm H[x, y] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(xoy) \pm H[x, y] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.8)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.8), we obtain

$$F((xoy)x) \pm H([x, y]x), \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \quad (2.9)$$

Using (2.8), it reduces to

$$(xoy) d(x) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.10)$$

Using the same arguments after (2.2) in the proof of Theorem (2.1), we get the required result. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F[x, y] \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F[x, y] \pm [x, H(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.11)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.11), we obtain

$$F([x, y]x) \pm [x, H(y)x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11), it reduces to

$$[x, y] d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.13)$$

Substituting $d(x)y$ for y and using (2.13), we get

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.14)$$

Right multiplying (2.14) by x , we obtain

$$[x, d(x)] y d(x) x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.15)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.14), we get

$$[x, d(x)] y x d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.16)$$

Subtracting (2.15) from (2.16), we get

$$[x, d(x)] y [x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.17)$$

Replacing y by ry , we obtain

$$[x, d(x)] ry [x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I \text{ and } r \in R. \quad (2.18)$$

left multiplying (2.17) by y , we get

$$y [x, d(x)] Ry [x, d(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

The semiprimeness of R yields that $y [x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Therefore $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F[x, y] \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(xoy) \pm [x, H(y)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.19)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.19), we get

$$F((xoy)x) \pm [x, H(y)x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \quad (2.20)$$

Using (2.19), it reduces to

$$(xoy) d(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.21)$$

Then by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem(2.1), we get $I[x, d(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in I$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $F(xy) \pm [x, H(y)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[d(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in I$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(xy) + [x, H(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.22)$$

Replacing y by yz in (2.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(xy)z + xyd(z) + H(y)[x, z] + [x, H(y)]z &\in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I, \\ (F(xy) + [x, H(y)])z + xyd(z) + H(y)[x, z] &\in Z(R). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Combining (2.21) and (2.22), we obtain

$$[xyd(z), z] + [H(y)[x, z], z] = 0. \quad (2.24)$$

Replacing x by xz in (2.23), we get

$$\begin{aligned} [xzyd(z), z] + [H(y)[xz, z], z] &= 0, \\ [xzyd(z), z] + [H(y)[x, z]z, z] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

Right multiplying (2.23) by z and subtracting from (2.24), we get

$$x[yd(z), z] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \quad (2.26)$$

Replacing x by wx in (2.25) and using (2.25), we obtain

$$[w, z]x[yd(z), z] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in I. \quad (2.27)$$

Replacing w by $yd(z)$ and using semiprimeness of R , we get

$$[yd(z), z] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I. \quad (2.28)$$

Substituting $d(z)$ instead of y in (2.27) and using (2.27), we obtain

$$[d(z), z]yd(z) = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in I.$$

Replacing z by x , we get

$$[d(x), x]yd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.29)$$

Replacing y by yx in (2.28), we get

$$[d(x), x]yxd(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.30)$$

Right multiplying (2.28) by x , we get

$$[d(x), x]yd(x)x = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.31)$$

Subtracting (2.29) from (2.30), we get

$$[d(x), x]y[d(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.32)$$

Replacing y by ry in (2.31), we obtain

$$[d(x), x]ry[d(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I \text{ and } r \in R. \quad (2.33)$$

Left multiplying (2.32) by y , we get

$$y[d(x), x]Ry[d(x), x] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.34)$$

By semiprimeness of R , we conclude that $y[x, d(x)] = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then

$$I[x, d(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

In the same manner the conclusion can be obtained when

$$F(xy) - [x, H(y)] \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.6. Let R be a semiprime ring and I a non-zero ideal of R . If $F : R \longrightarrow R$ is a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation associated with a map $d : R \longrightarrow R$ such that

$$F(xy) \pm [H(x), H(y)] \in Z(R),$$

for all $x, y \in I$, then

$$I[d(x), x] = 0,$$

for all $x \in I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$F(xy) + [H(x), H(y)] \in Z(R), \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.35)$$

Replacing y by yz in (2.35), we get

$$F(xy)z + xyd(z) + H(y)[x, z] + [H(x), H(y)]z \in Z(R). \quad (2.36)$$

Combining (2.34) and (2.35), we obtain

$$[xyd(z), z] + [H(y)[x, z], z] = 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \quad (2.37)$$

Replacing x by xz in (2.37), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [xzyd(z), z] + [H(y)[xz, z], z] &= 0, \\ [xzyd(z), z] + [H(y)[x, z]z, z] &= 0, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Then by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem(2.5), we get $I[d(x), x] = 0$, for all $x \in I$.

In the same manner the conclusion can be obtained when $F(xy) - [H(x), H(y)] \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$. \square

Corollary 2.1. Let R be a semiprime ring admitting a multiplicative(generalized)-derivation $F : R \longrightarrow R$ associated with a map $d : R \longrightarrow R$ and $H : R \longrightarrow R$ be a multiplicative left multiplier. If R satisfies any one of the following identities:

- (i) $F(xoy) \pm H(xoy) = 0$,
- (ii) $F(xoy) \pm H[x, y] = 0$,
- (iii) $F[x, y] \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$,
- (iv) $F(xoy) \pm [x, H(y)] = 0$,
- (v) $F(xy) \pm [x, H(y)] \in Z(R)$,
- (vi) $F(xy) \pm [H(x), H(y)] \in Z(R)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, then the map d is a commuting map on R .

Example 2.1. Consider $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} / a, b, c \in Z \right\}$, where Z is set of integers. We

define the maps $F, d, H : R \longrightarrow R$ by $F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & ab \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

It is verified that F is a multiplicative (generalized)-derivation associated with a map d respectively and

$$H(xy) = H(x)y$$

holds for all $x, y \in R$.

It is easy to see that the identity

$$F(xoy) \pm H(xoy) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in R$.

Here R is not semiprime because $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} R \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (0)$.

Hence, the condition of semiprimeness in Corollary 2.7 cannot be removed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ali, A., Kumar, D. and Miyan, P., *On generalized derivations and commutativity of prime and semiprime rings*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., **40** (2011), No. 3, 367–374
- [2] Ali, A., Dhara, B., Khan, S. and Ali, F., *Multiplicative(generalized)-derivations and left ideals in semiprime rings*, Hacettepe J. Math. Stat., **44** (2015), No. 6, 1293–1306
- [3] Ali, A. and Bano, A., *Multiplicative (generalized) reverse derivations on semiprime ring*, Eur. J. pure. Appl. Math., **11** (2018), No. 3, 717–729
- [4] Ali, A., Muthana, N. and Bano, A., *Multiplicative (generalized)-derivations and left multipliers in semiprime rings*, Palest. J. Mat., **7** (2018), No. 1, 170–178
- [5] Ashraf, M. and Rahman, M. M., *On Multiplicative (generalized)-skew derivations over semiprime rings*, Rend. semin. Mat. univ. politec. Torino., **73** (2015), No. 2, 261–268
- [6] Bresar, M., *On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations*, Glasgow Math. J., **33** (1991), 89–93
- [7] Bouo, A. and Abdelwanis, A. Y., *Some results about ideals and generalized multiplicative (α, β) -derivations on semiprime rings*, Indian. J. Math., **61** (2019), No. 2, 239–251
- [8] Camci, D. K. and Aydin, N., *On Multiplicative(generalized)-derivations in semiprime rings*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. Al Math. Stat., **66** (2017), No. 1, 153–164
- [9] Daif, M. N., *When is a Multiplicative derivation additive?*, Int. J. Math. Sci., **14** (1991), No. 3, 615–618
- [10] Daif, M. N. and Bell, H. E., *Remarks on derivations on semiprime rings*, Int. J. Math. Sci., **15** (1992), No. 1, 205–206
- [11] Daif, M. N. and Tammam-El-Sayaid M. S., *Multiplicative generalized derivations which are additive*, East-west J. Math., **9** (1997), No. 1, 31–37
- [12] Dhara, B. and Shakir, A., *On Multiplicative (generalized)-derivations in prime and semiprime rings*, A equat. Math., **86** (2013), No. 1, 65–79
- [13] Goldman, H. and Semrl, P., *Multiplicative derivations on $C(X)$* , Monatsh. Math., **121** (1996), No. 3, 189–197
- [14] Hongan, M., *A Note on semiprime rings with derivations*, Internat. J. Math. Sci., **20** (1997), 413–415
- [15] Koc, E. and Golbasi, Ö., *Multiplicative generalized derivations on lie ideals in semiprime rings II*, Miskolc Math. Notes., **18** (2017), No. 1, 265–276
- [16] Koc, E. and Golbasi, Ö., *Multiplicative generalized derivations on lie ideals in semiprime rings I*, Palest. J. Math., **6** (2017), No. 1, 219–227
- [17] Khan, S., *On semiprime rings with multiplicative(generalized)-derivations*, Beitr. Algebra Geom., **57** (2016), No. 1, 119–128
- [18] Martindale III, W. S., *When are multiplicative maps additive*, proc. Am. Math. Soc., **21** (1969), 695–698
- [19] Tammam El-sayiad, M. S., Daif, M. N. and Filippis, V. D., *Multiplicativity of left centralizers forcing additivity*, Boc. Soc. Paran. Mat., **32** (2014), No. 1, 61–69
- [20] Tiwari, S. K., Sharma, R. K. and Dhara, B., *Multiplicative(generalized)-derivations in semiprime rings*, Beitr. Algebra Geom., **58** (2017), No. 1, 211–225
- [21] Tiwari, S. K., Sharma, R. K. and Dhara, B., *Some theorems of commutativity on semiprime rings with mappings*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **42** (2018), No. 2, 279–292
- [22] Sandhu, Gurminder S., Kumar, D., Camci, D. K. and Aydin, N., *On derivations satisfying certain identities on rings and Algebras*, Facta univ.ser. Math. Inform., **34** (2019), No. 1, 85–99

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
RESEARCH SCHOLAR, SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY
ANANTAPUR, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: malleeswari.gn@gmail.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GOVERNMENT COLLEGE(AUTONOMOUS)
ANANTAPUR, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: ssreenu1729@gmail.com

³DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY
ANANTAPUR, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA
Email address: g.shobhalatha@yahoo.com